CERN-TH. 6884/93, UTTG-15-93
NEIP-93-005, OSU-M-93-1
hep-th/9308083
August 25, 1993

Mirror Symmetry for Two Parameter Models – I *

Philip Candelas[1,2], Xenia de la Ossa[3], Anamaría Font[4],
Sheldon Katz[5] and David R. Morrison[6,7]

[1] *Theory Division*
CERN
CH-1211 Geneva 23
Switzerland

[2] *Theory Group*
Department of Physics
University of Texas
Austin, TX 78712, USA

[3] *Institut de Physique*
Université de Neuchâtel
CH-2000 Neuchâtel
Switzerland

[4] *Departamento de Física*
Universidad Central de Venezuela
A.P. 20513, Caracas 1020–A
Venezuela

[5] *Department of Mathematics*
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater
OK 74078, USA

[6] *School of Mathematics*
Institute for Advanced Study
Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

[7] *Department of Mathematics*
Duke University
Durham, NC 27708, USA

arXiv:hep-th/9308083v2 25 Aug 1993

ABSTRACT

We study, by means of mirror symmetry, the quantum geometry of the Kähler-class parameters of a number of Calabi–Yau manifolds that have $b_{11} = 2$. Our main interest lies in the structure of the moduli space and in the loci corresponding to singular models. This structure is considerably richer when there are two parameters than in the various one-parameter models that have been studied hitherto. We describe the intrinsic structure of the point in the (compactification of the) moduli space that corresponds to the large complex structure or classical limit. The instanton expansions are of interest owing to the fact that some of the instantons belong to families with continuous parameters. We compute the Yukawa couplings and their expansions in terms of instantons of genus zero. By making use of recent results of Bershadsky *et al.* we compute also the instanton numbers for instantons of genus one. For particular values of the parameters the models become birational to certain models with one parameter. The compactification divisor of the moduli space thus contains copies of the moduli spaces of one parameter models. Our discussion proceeds via the particular models $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$ and $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$. Another example, $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,1,6,9)}[18]$, that is somewhat different is the subject of a companion paper.

CERN-TH. 6884/93

* Supported in part by the Robert A. Welch Foundation, NSF grants PHY-9009850 and DMS-9103827, the Swiss National Foundation, and an American Mathematical Society Centennial Fellowship.

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Geometry of Calabi–Yau Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}$
   - *2.1 Linear systems*
   - *2.2 Curves and the Kähler cone*
   - *2.3 Chern classes*

3. The Moduli Space of the Mirror
   - *3.1 Basic facts*
   - *3.2 The locus $\phi^2 = 1$*
   - *3.2 More about the moduli space*

4. Monodromy and the Large Complex Structure Limit
   - *4.1 The large complex structure limit*
   - *4.2 Monodromy calculations*

5. Considerations of Toric Geometry

6. The Periods
   - *6.1 The fundamental period*
   - *6.2 The Picard–Fuchs equations*
   - *6.3 Analytic properties of the fundamental period*
   - *6.4 Analytic continuation of the periods*

7. The Mirror Map and the Large Complex Structure Limit
   - *7.1 Generalities*
   - *7.2 The large complex structure limit for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$*
   - *7.3 Inversion of the mirror map*

8. The Yukawa Couplings and the Instanton Expansion
   - *8.1 The couplings*
   - *8.2 Instantons of genus one*

9. Verification of Some Instanton Contributions

1. **Introduction**

In this article we study the mirror map for the moduli spaces of two two-parameter Calabi–Yau manifolds. This study draws on and extends the methods of [1-4]. We have undertaken this investigation because the multiparameter case is generic and is considerably more involved than the one parameter models that have been studied hitherto. We are thus driven to methods of more general applicability. Furthermore the structure of the moduli space is considerably richer in the two parameter case. The compactification of the moduli space contains curves corresponding to several types of singularities and we address the interesting question of how to characterize and identify the point in the moduli space corresponding to the large complex structure limit. We are led also to investigate the analytic properties of the periods as functions of two parameters. Another interesting feature is that the Calabi–Yau manifolds that we investigate have instantons which lie in continuous families for generic values of the parameters. One consequence of this is that the instantons belonging to the continuous family cannot be completely separated from the classical contribution to the Yukawa couplings. Another interesting feature of the instanton expansion is that we find certain 'quantum symmetries' pertaining to the instanton numbers. These symmetries may be understood in virtue of certain monodromy transformations of the period vector for the complex structure parameters of the mirror manifold. They can also be verified and generalized by the methods of algebraic geometry, but these results would not have been expected without mirror symmetry. We find also that certain instanton numbers are negative contrary, perhaps, to naive expectation though since these numbers correspond to integrals over continuous families of instantons there is in reality no contradiction.

The hardest part of the analysis concerns the proper identification of the large complex structure limit and the explicit construction of the mirror map. The methods previously applied to one parameter models can be employed in the present context also. The multi-parameter case is however considerably more involved than the one parameter case and a clearer and more general procedure is desirable and is developed here. We show how the large complex structure limit is characterised by certain monodromy properties and we show also, by means of an exhaustive calculation of monodromy transformations, that the point corresponding to the large complex structure limit is uniquely determined. We also verify that a simpler procedure based on the methods of toric geometry (pioneered in [5]) produces the same result. For the construction of the mirror map itself, we analyze the monodromy more carefully using analytic continuation of periods.

Our study proceeds as follows: we explain in §2 the geometry of our two Calabi–Yau manifolds and the structure of their Kähler-class moduli spaces. In §3 we discuss the structure of the complex structure moduli spaces for their mirrors. In virtue of mirror

symmetry these are the quantum corrected versions of the moduli spaces of §2. We turn in §4 to a discussion of the monodromy properties that characterise the large complex structure limit. These monodromy properties relate to the transport of the periods about the various curves in the moduli space that correspond to singular degenerations of the Calabi–Yau manifold. Singularities in the Calabi–Yau manifold produce metric singularities in the moduli space. To properly analyze these, we need to compactify the moduli space to include a normal crossings divisor on the boundary. This leads us to consider, in §5, certain techniques of toric geometry which provide another, perhaps faster, way of finding the large complex structure limit. We turn in §6 to a computation of the periods for our models. These are certain generalized hypergeometric functions of two variables and we are concerned with the related issues of their analytic continuation throughout the moduli space and their monodromy about the curves in the moduli space that correspond to singular manifolds. In §7 we apply the foregoing analysis to finding the mirror map between the flat coordinates on the Kähler-cone of the models and the complex structure parameters of their mirrors and in §8 we compute the Yukawa couplings and exhibit their expansion in terms of instantons. Since we have the periods and the various expansions to hand we compute also the instanton expansion of a certain genus-one generalization of the prepotential introduced by Bershadsky *et al.* [6] and obtain also the instanton numbers for instantons of genus one. Finally in §9 we check the values of some of the instanton numbers that we have found, and explore the meaning of the negativity of some of those numbers.

After this work was complete, we were kindly informed by S.-T. Yau of a preprint [7] which overlaps with the present paper.

2. Geometry of Calabi–Yau Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}$

2.1. Linear systems

We consider Calabi-Yau threefolds $\mathcal{M}$ which are obtained by resolving singularities of degree eight hypersurfaces $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}$. A typical defining polynomial for such a hypersurface is

$$p = x_1^8 + x_2^8 + x_3^4 + x_4^4 + x_5^4$$

but of course in general many other terms can occur.

The singularities occur along $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, where there is a curve C of singularities of type A_1. In our particular example, the curve C is described by

$$x_1 = x_2 = 0 \quad , \quad x_3^4 + x_4^4 + x_5^4 = 0 \ ;$$

in general it will just be a smooth quartic plane curve, which always has genus 3.

To resolve singularities, we must blow up the locus $x_1 = x_2 = 0$; the curve of singularities C on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is replaced by a divisor E on $\mathcal{M}$, which is a ruled surface over the curve C. That is each point of C is blown up into a $\mathbb{P}_1$.

Recall that on a complex manifold, a (complete) *linear system* is the set of divisors arising as the zero loci of the global sections of a line bundle. Two divisors in the same linear system are said to be linearly equivalent; and linearly equivalent divisors may be freely substituted for each other in an intersection calculation. Given an effective divisor D, the complete linear system of which it is a part is denoted by $|D|$.

The first linear system we study on $\mathcal{M}$, which we will denote by $|L|$, is generated by polynomials of degree 1 (i.e., by x_1 and x_2). Every divisor in $|L|$ is the proper transform on $\mathcal{M}$ of the zero locus of such a polynomial on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$. These divisors are described by means of a parameter λ and a substitution $x_2 = \lambda x_1$ (λ may be infinite); the equation of the proper transform becomes

$$(1 + \lambda^8)x_1^8 + x_3^4 + x_4^4 + x_5^4 = 0$$

which defines a surface of degree 8 in $\mathbb{P}_3^{(1,2,2,2)}$. In fact, making the substitution $y_1 = x_1^2$, which is single valued in virtue of the scaling properties of the coordinates, we see that this is isomorphic to the surface of degree 4 in ordinary projective space with equation

$$(1 + \lambda^8)y_1^4 + x_3^4 + x_4^4 + x_5^4 = 0.$$

The linear system $|L|$ is thus a pencil of quartic K3 surfaces. Note that any two distinct members of $|L|$ are disjoint, that is, $L \cdot L = 0$.

The second linear system we study on $\mathcal{M}$ (which we will denote by $|H|$) is generated by polynomials of degree 2 (i.e., by linear combinations of x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_3, x_4, and x_5). The divisors in $|H|$ are total transforms on $\mathcal{M}$ of the zero locus on $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ of the corresponding polynomial. A typical polynomial will have non-zero coefficient on x_5, and allow one to solve for x_5 in terms of the other variables, producing a proper transformed equation which defines a surface of degree 8 in $\mathbb{P}_3^{(1,1,2,2)}$.

These two linear systems are related to each other as follows. If we look at $|2L|$, the quadratic polynomials in x_1 and x_2, we get a subsytem of H. That subsytem can be characterized by the geometric property that the polynomials from $|2L|$ vanish on the singular curve C. Interpreted on the resolution $\mathcal{M}$, this means that the *total* transform of the zero locus of such a polynomial has the form $2L + E$ where $2L$ describes the proper transform and E is the exceptional divisor (as above). We thus arrive at the relation between linear systems

$$|H| = |2L + E|.$$

We now compute intersection products. Since $L \cdot L = 0$, we automatically have

$$H \cdot L^2 = 0 \ , \qquad L^3 = 0 \ .$$

Since $|H|$ defines a birational map on $\mathcal{M}$ whose image has degree 8 (the number of common intersection points of three members of $|H|$), we have[1]

$$H^3 = 8.$$

And when we restrict that linear system $|H|$ to one of the K3 surfaces L, we get a *quartic* linear system on L. It follows that[2]

$$H^2 \cdot L = (H \cap L) \cdot (H \cap L) = 4.$$

The situation is entirely analogous for degree 12 hypersurfaces $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}$. A typical defining polynomial is

$$p = x_1^{12} + x_2^{12} + x_3^6 + x_4^6 + x_5^2 \ .$$

The singular curve C is described by

$$x_1 = x_2 = 0 \quad , \quad x_3^6 + x_4^6 + x_5^2 = 0 \ ;$$

[1] Typically in such an intersection calculation we express x_3, x_4, and x_5 as quadratic polynomials in x_1 and x_2, leaving us with an overall homogeneous octic in 2 variables, which will have 8 solutions.

[2] In this calculation, we have $x_2 = \lambda x_1$, so we express x_4 and x_5 as linear polynomials in x_1^2 and x_3, that is, in y_1 and x_3. The equation becomes a homoegeneous quartic in y_1 and x_3, giving 4 intersection points.

which is a curve of genus 2. The singularity is resolved by blowing up C, resulting in an exceptional divisor E which is a ruled surface over the curve C. The degree 1 polynomials generated by x_1 and x_2 define a linear system $|L|$, whose divisors are again K3 surfaces (this time in $\mathbb{P}^{(1,2,2,6)}$, and are isomorphic to surfaces of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,1,3)}$). The degree 2 polynomials generate a linear system $|H|$. We again have $H = 2L + E$. The intersection numbers are

$$H^3 = 4 \ , \qquad H^2 L = 2 \ , \qquad H L^2 = 0 \ , \qquad L^3 = 0 \ .$$

In fact, $L^2 = 0$, as in the previous case.

2.2. Curves and the Kähler cone

We now consider the classes of some 1-cycles on $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}$. Our first class is l, a fiber of the ruling $E \to C$. We identify its cohomology class by noting that $H \cap E$ consists of 4 fibers (lying over the 4 points of intersection of the hyperplane with C), so that

$$l = \frac{1}{4} H \cdot E = \frac{1}{4} H^2 - \frac{1}{2} H \cdot L.$$

The second class we consider is the intersection of general members of $|H|$ and $|L|$,

$$4h = H \cdot L.$$

This is a plane quartic curve (after the substitution $y_1 = x_1^2$ has been made). In fact, by choosing H and L carefully, we can find a curve which is a sum of four lines. An easy way to do this is to take L to be $x_2 = 0$, and to take H to be $x_5 = e^{2\pi i/8} x_4$. Then each of the lines defines a class h.

The intersection relations between linear systems and curves read

$$\begin{aligned} L \cdot l &= 1 \qquad & L \cdot h &= 0 \\ H \cdot l &= 0 \qquad & H \cdot h &= 1 \ . \end{aligned}$$

We can now identify the Kähler cone of our variety $\mathcal{M}$. If we take a general linear system $|\alpha L + \beta H|$, by intersecting with the effective classes l and h we get constraints on α and β. From the intersection relations given above, we see that those constraints take the particularly simple form $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$.

On the other hand, the extreme solutions to those constraints, namely L and H, are known to represent classes of nef divisors, since the linear systems $|L|$ and $|H|$ are base-point-free on $\mathcal{M}$. They are thus limits of sequences of Kähler classes; we conclude that the Kähler cone is precisely the convex hull of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} L$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} H$.

The same discussion applies for $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}$, with the obvious modifications. The result is the same: the Kähler cone is the convex hull of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} L$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} H$.

Both ${\mathbb{P}_4}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$ and ${\mathbb{P}_4}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$ have non-polynomial deformations, but by results in [8], their Kähler cones will also be the convex hull of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} L$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} H$.

2.3. Chern classes

The second Chern class can be computed without too much difficulty and will be of use later in relation to the instantons of genus one. For a smooth divisor $D \subset \mathcal{M}$, we use the notation $c_2(D)$ to note the second Chern class of the surface D. The notation c_i will be reserved for the appropriate Chern class of $\mathcal{M}$. For the K3 surface L, we have $c_2(L) = 24$. And for the exceptional divisor E, a ruled surface over a curve of genus g (g is 3 or 2 in our respective cases), we have $c_2(E) = 4 - 4g$.

Let T_D, $T_{\mathcal{M}}$ respectively denote the holomorphic tangent bundles of D, $\mathcal{M}$, and let $N_{D/\mathcal{M}}$ denote the normal bundle of D in $\mathcal{M}$; note

$$N_{D/\mathcal{M}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(D)|_D.$$

From the exact sequence

$$0 \to T_D \to T_{\mathcal{M}}|_D \to N_{D/\mathcal{M}} \to 0$$

together with the Whitney product formula, we calculate

$$(1 + c_2 + c_3)|_D = ((1 - D + c_2(D)) \cdot (1 + D))_D,$$

where the subscript indicates that the intersection is to be performed on D. Using this and the fact that

$$(D \cdot D)_D = (D \cdot D \cdot D)_{\mathcal{M}},$$

we obtain the desired formula

$$c_2 \cdot D = c_2(D) - D^3.$$

In our situation, this gives

$$c_2 \cdot L = c_2(L) - L^3 = 24.$$

For the case of $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$, we have

$$c_2 \cdot E = c_2(E) - E^3 = -8 - (H - 2L)^3 = 8 \ ,$$

and hence

$$c_2 \cdot H = c_2 \cdot (2L + E) = 2(24) + 8 = 56 \ .$$

While for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$ we similarly obtain

$$c_2 \cdot E = c_2(E) - E^3 = -4 - (H - 2L)^3 = 4 \ , \qquad c_2 \cdot H = 52 \ .$$

3. **The Moduli Space of the Mirror**

3.1. Basic facts

Using the construction of [9], the mirror of $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$ may be identified with the family of Calabi-Yau threefolds of the form $\{p = 0\}/G$, where

$$p = x_1^8 + x_2^8 + x_3^4 + x_4^4 + x_5^4 - 8\psi\, x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 - 2\phi\, x_1^4x_2^4$$

and where G, which is abstractly $\mathbb{Z}_4^3$, is the group with generators

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbb{Z}_4;\ 0,3,1,0,0)\ ,\\ (\mathbb{Z}_4;\ 0,3,0,1,0)\ ,\\ (\mathbb{Z}_4;\ 0,3,0,0,1)\ .\end{aligned}$$

For a good description of the moduli space, it is wise to enlarge G to $\widehat{G}$ consisting of elements $g = (\alpha^{a_1}, \alpha^{a_2}, \alpha^{2a_3}, \alpha^{2a_4}, \alpha^{2a_5})$ acting as:

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5; \psi, \phi) \mapsto (\alpha^{a_1}x_1, \alpha^{a_2}x_2, \alpha^{2a_3}x_3, \alpha^{2a_4}x_4, \alpha^{2a_5}x_5; \alpha^{-a}\psi, \alpha^{-4a}\phi),$$

where $a = a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_4 + 2a_5$, where α^{a_1} and α^{a_2} are 8th roots of unity, and where α^{2a_3}, α^{2a_4}, and α^{2a_5} are 4th roots of unity. (We do not require that the product of these be 1, since we have 'corrected' the equation by an appropriate action on the coefficients.)

If we mod the family of weighted projective hypersurfaces $\{p = 0\}$ by the full group $\widehat{G}$, we must mod the parameter space $\{(\psi, \phi)\}$ by a $\mathbb{Z}_8$ whose generator g_0 acts by

$$(\psi, \phi) \mapsto (\alpha\psi, -\phi).$$

The quotiented parameter space has a singularity at the origin, and can be described by three functions

$$\tilde{\xi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi^8\ , \quad \tilde{\eta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi^4\phi\ , \quad \tilde{\zeta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi^2\ ,$$

subject to the relation

$$\tilde{\xi}\,\tilde{\zeta} = \tilde{\eta}^2\ .$$

This describes an affine quadric cone in $\mathbb{C}^3$. When we need a compactification of the moduli space, it will be natural to compactify it to the projective quadric cone in $\mathbb{P}_3$. We embed $\mathbb{C}^3$ in $\mathbb{P}_3$ by sending the point of $\mathbb{C}^3$ with coordinates $(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\zeta})$ to the point in $\mathbb{P}_3$ with *homogeneous* coordinates $[\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\zeta}, 1]$. (The most general point in $\mathbb{P}_3$ has homogeneous coordinates $[\xi, \eta, \zeta, \tau]$; when $\tau \neq 0$, these are related to the affine coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^3$ by $\tilde{\xi} = \xi/\tau$, $\tilde{\eta} = \eta/\tau$, $\tilde{\zeta} = \zeta/\tau$.)

One important thing to keep in mind about this quotient by $\mathbb{Z}_8$: the square of the generator g_0^2 acts trivially on ϕ, and so fixes the entire line $\psi = 0$. This means that the quotiented family $\{p = 0\}/\widehat{G}$ will have new singularities along the $\psi = 0$ locus (which becomes the $\xi = \eta = 0$ locus in the quotient).

We need to locate the parameter values for which the original family of hypersurfaces $\{p = 0\}$ is singular, and study the behavior of the singularities under the quotienting. This is straightforward and produces the following result:

1. Along the locus $\phi + 8\psi^4 = \pm 1$, the threefold $\{p = 0\}$ acquires a collection of conifold points, which are identified under the G-action, giving only one conifold point per threefold on the quotient.
2. Along the locus $\phi = \pm 1$, the threefold $\{p = 0\}$ acquires 4 somewhat complicated isolated singularities, again leading to only a single singular point on the quotient.
3. If we let ϕ and ψ approach infinity, we get a singular limiting threefold which may for example have the form

   $$(8\psi\, x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 + 2\phi\, x_1^4x_2^4 = 0)/G.$$

   (In this example, the limiting threefold splits into at least three components, and must be singular along their intersections—two of the components being $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 0$.)
4. As noted above, the locus $\psi = 0$ requires special treatment, as it leads to additional singularities on the quotient by $\widehat{G}$.

When we pass to the quotiented parameter space, these loci can be described as follows. We use homogeneous coordinates $[\xi, \eta, \zeta, \tau]$ on $\mathbb{P}_3$, and describe the compactified quotiented parameter space as the singular quadric $Q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\xi\zeta - \eta^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_3$. The loci above then become the curves:

1. $C_{\text{con}} = Q \cap \{64\xi + 16\eta + \zeta - \tau = 0\}$,
2. $C_1 = Q \cap \{\zeta - \tau = 0\}$,
3. $C_\infty = Q \cap \{\tau = 0\}$,
4. $C_0 = \{\xi = \eta = 0\} \subset Q$.

The way in which these curves meet each other on Q is indicated in figure 1. The points of intersection are:

- $[1, -8, 64, 0]$, the point of tangency between C_{con} and C_∞,
- $[1, 0, 0, 0]$, the point of tangency between C_1 and C_∞,
- $[0, 0, 1, 0]$, the point of intersection of C_0 and C_∞,
- $[0, 0, 1, 1]$, the common point of intersection of C_0, C_1, and C_{con}, and
- $[1, -4, 16, 16]$, the intersection point of C_1 and C_{con} through which C_0 does not pass.

The moduli space of the mirror ${\mathbb{P}_4}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$ has a very similar structure. The polynomial

is

$$p \;=\; x_1^{12}+x_2^{12}+x_3^6+x_4^6+x_5^6-12\psi\, x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5-2\phi\, x_1^6x_2^6$$

with the group generated by

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathbb{Z}_6;\ 0,5,1,0,0)\ ,\\ &(\mathbb{Z}_6;\ 0,5,0,1,0)\ ,\\ &(\mathbb{Z}_2;\ 0,1,0,0,1)\ .\end{aligned}$$

The enlarged group includes a $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ acting by $(\psi,\phi)\mapsto(\beta\psi,-\phi)$, with β a nontrivial twelfth root of unity. The invariants are ψ^{12}, $\psi^6\phi$ and ϕ^2. The remaining analysis is very similar; note that the conifold locus in this case is defined by $864\,\psi^6+\phi=\pm1$.

3.2. The locus $\phi^2=1$

Returning to the case of $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$, we observe that something interesting happens when we restrict to the locus $\phi=1$. The resulting family of singular threefolds is birationally equivalent to the mirror family of $\mathbb{P}_5[2,4]$ which is described in Refs. [10-12]. For simplicity we make a rescaling of the coordinates of the $\mathbb{P}_5[2,4]$ mirror family given in [12] so that the equations defining the complete intersection have the form

$$\begin{aligned}y_0^2+y_1^2+y_2^2+y_3^2 &= \eta\, y_4y_5\\ y_4^4+y_5^4 &= y_0y_1y_2y_3\end{aligned}\qquad(3.1)$$

where η is the parameter. We must divide this complete intersection by the group H of coordinate rescalings which preserve both hypersurfaces as well as the holomorphic 3–form. Explicitly, this is given by

$$\left\{\ (\alpha^{4a},\alpha^{4b},\alpha^{4c},\alpha^{4d},\alpha^{e},\alpha^{f})\ \middle|\ e{+}f\equiv0\ (\mathrm{mod}\,8),\quad 4a{+}4b{+}4c{+}4d\equiv4e\ (\mathrm{mod}\,8)\ \right\}\ .$$

To see the birational equivalence, define a rational map

$$\Phi:\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}/G\ \longrightarrow\ \mathbb{P}_5/H$$

via

$$\begin{aligned}y_0&=x_1^4-x_2^4 & y_3&=x_5^2\\ y_1&=x_3^2 & y_4&=x_1\sqrt{x_3x_4x_5}\\ y_2&=x_4^2 & y_5&=\alpha\frac{x_2y_4}{x_1}\end{aligned}\qquad(3.2)$$

which is easily seen to be compatible with the actions of G and H. The image $X\subset\mathbb{P}_5/H$ of Φ is defined by the second of equations (3.1), which is H–invariant. It can then be

checked that the rational map $X \to \mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}/G$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= y_4/\sqrt{x_3x_4x_5} \\ x_2 &= \alpha^{-1}y_5/\sqrt{x_3x_4x_5} \\ x_3 &= \sqrt{y_1} \\ x_4 &= \sqrt{y_2} \\ x_5 &= \sqrt{y_3} \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined, and is a rational inverse of Φ.

The image of $\{p = 0\}/G$ in X is defined by

$$y_0^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 - 8\psi\alpha^{-1}y_4y_5 \;=\; 0$$

which follows from (3.2). Thus, via Φ, the mirror family with $\phi = 1$ goes over to the mirror family for the $(2, 4)$ complete intersection after making the substitution $\eta = 8\psi\alpha^{-1}$.

In the case of $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$, the limit $\phi = 1$ analogously leads to a manifold birationally equivalent to the mirror of a (2,6) complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}_5^{(1,1,1,1,1,3)}$.

This is the first hint of a relationship between the quantum geometry of our two–parameter family, and that of the one–parameter family associated to the complete intersection. We will later see a relationship between the Yukawa couplings as well as the geometry of the manifolds themselves.

3.3. More about the moduli space

We need a compactification of the moduli space which is smooth, and whose boundary is a divisor with normal crossings. This can be constructed by blowing up the previous compactified moduli space. To keep track of the blowups in an efficient way, it is convenient to use the language of toric geometry. (For a review in the physics literature, see [13]).

The singular quadric $Q = \{\xi\zeta - \eta^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ is isomorphic to the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2)}$, and this is the starting point for identifying it as a toric variety. The toric diagram is the union of the cones whose edges are the rays spanned by the vectors $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ and $(-2, -1)$, as illustrated in figure 2. For definiteness, we choose coordinates (u, v) associated to the first quadrant in the toric diagram. Then the three coordinate charts are described as follows: we select the subset of the rational monomials u^Av^B which satisfy conditions

$A > 0$ and $B > 0$ in the chart associated to $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$

$A > 0$ and $-2A - B > 0$ in the chart associated to $(1, 0)$, $(-2, -1)$

$-2A - B > 0$ and $B > 0$ in the chart associated to $(-2, -1)$, $(0, 1)$

The monomials associated to these are generated by

u and v in the first chart

$v^{-2}u$ and v^{-1} in the second chart

u^{-1}, $u^{-1}v$ and $u^{-1}v^2$ (subject to a relation) in the third chart

To make the identification with our moduli space explicit, we choose $u = \phi^{-2}$, $v = \phi^{-1}\psi^4$. Then in the third chart (which has the singularity) we find:

$$u^{-1} = \phi^2 = \tilde{\zeta} \ , \quad u^{-1}v = \phi\psi^4 = \tilde{\eta} \ , \quad u^{-1}v^2 = \psi^8 = \tilde{\xi} \ .$$

In the toric description, each vector $\vec{v}$ in the toric diagram is associated to a divisor $D_{\vec{v}}$ on the toric variety. The divisor $D_{(0,1)}$ is described by $v = 0$, and so coincides with the curve C_0. The divisor $D_{(1,0)}$ is described by $u = 0$, and corresponds to the compactification divisor C_∞ from before. The divisor $D_{(-2,-1)}$ is the locus $\phi = 0$, which plays no particular role in our discussion of the moduli space.

The curve $C_1 = \{\phi^2 = 1\}$ is given by $u = 1$ in the first chart, and by $uv^{-2} = (v^{-1})^2$ in the second chart. Its point of tangency with C_∞ is therefore located at the origin in the second chart.

We can now do several toric blowups. First, to resolve the singularity of Q itself, we blow up the singular point, which adds the vector $(-1, 0)$ to the toric diagram. We then blowup twice in the second chart (to replace the tangency between C_1 and C_∞ by normal crossings divisors), adding the vectors $(-1, -1)$ and then $(0, -1)$ to the toric diagram. (The resulting toric diagram after all blowups is shown in figure 3.) To simplify notation, we will use the same symbol to denote a curve as well as its proper transform via the blowup map. The resulting surface contains a "chain" of divisors $D_{(-1,0)}$, $D_{(0,1)} = C_0$, $D_{(1,0)} = C_\infty$, $D_{(0,-1)}$, $D_{(-1,-1)}$ (with each divisor meeting the next one in the chain) whose self-intersections are -2, 0, 0, -1, and -2, respectively.

We still must blowup the common point of intersection of C_0, C_1, and $C_{\rm con}$, and blowup (twice) the point of tangency between $C_{\rm con}$ and C_∞; these are not toric blowups. We label the exceptional curves for these blowups E_0, E_1, and E_2, respectively. The resulting diagram of curves is depicted in figure 4.

The moduli space of the mirror of $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$ has a very similar structure.

4. **Monodromy and the Large Complex Structure Limit**

4.1. The large complex structure limit

The first step in determining the mirror map is to locate the point corresponding to the large complex structure limit. To this end we wish to make some observations about the nature of the large complex structure limit (cf. [14,15]). Consider the general case of n parameters t^j , $j = 1, \ldots, n$. These may be chosen such that, in the large complex structure limit the prepotential assumes the form

$$\mathcal{F} = -\frac{1}{3!}\mathring{y}_{ijk}t^it^jt^k - \frac{1}{2}q_{ij}t^it^j - l_it^i - \xi + \cdots \ , \qquad \boxed{w^0 = 1}$$

where the $\mathring{y}_{ijk}$ are the topological values for the Yukawa couplings and the ellipsis denotes terms that are exponentially small and are also periodic under $t^i \to t^i + 1$. We have also chosen the gauge $w^0 = 1$.

We form the period vector

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_0 \\ \mathcal{F}_i \\ 1 \\ t^j \end{pmatrix} \ ,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t^i}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = 2\mathcal{F} - t^i\mathcal{F}_i$, and we consider the effect of making the replacement $t^j \to t^j + \delta_i^j$ on Π. This corresponds to a matrix S_i

$$\Pi \to S_i\,\Pi \qquad \text{with} \qquad S_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\delta_i^T & \frac{1}{6}\mathring{y}_{iii} - 2l_i & \frac{1}{2}\mathring{y}_{ii}^T + q_i^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} & -\frac{1}{2}\mathring{y}_{ii} + q_i & -\mathring{y}_i \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_i & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

Here δ_i, q_i and $\mathring{y}_{ii}$ are vectors and the $\mathring{y}_i$ are matrices

$$\delta_i = (\delta_i^j) \quad , \quad q_i = (q_{ij}) \quad , \quad \mathring{y}_{ii} = (\mathring{y}_{iij})$$
$$\mathring{y}_i = (\mathring{y}_{ijk}) \ .$$

Note that the S_i are integral matrices for suitable q_{ij} and l_i, this being possible in virtue of the fact that the intersection numbers $\mathring{y}_{ijk}$ for $H^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfy

$$\mathring{y}_{iij} = \mathring{y}_{ijj} \ (\text{mod } 2).$$

We could in fact have improved the appearance of the S_i by departing from the usual convention and writing the w's in the order (w^j, w^0) in Π then the S_i would be upper triangular. Set now

$$R_i = S_i - \mathbf{1}$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &i. && [R_i, R_j] = 0 \\ &ii. && R_i R_j R_k = \mathring{y}_{ijk} Y \\ &iii. && R_i R_j R_k R_l = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where Y is a matrix independent of i. (In this basis Y is the matrix which has $Y_{1,n+1} = 1$ and all other components zero.) The utility of (4.1) is that these relations give a characterisation of the large complex structure limit independent of the choice of basis for the periods. Under a change of basis the only thing that changes is the form of the matrix Y.

We anticipate that the large complex structure limit consists, in the general case, of n codimension 1 hypersurfaces in the (compactification of the) moduli space meeting transversely in a point and such that the monodromies of the period vector about these hypersurfaces correspond to the properties (4.1). There will thus be periods such that S_i corresponds to $t^j \to t^j + \delta_i^j$. One of us has speculated elsewhere [15] that the t^j may in fact correspond to an integer basis. However, we will not assume this.

We first attempt to locate possible large complex structure limits by using (4.1). We need to consider points in a compactification of the moduli space which are at the transverse intersection of n boundary divisors (when the number of parameters is n), and calculate monodromy matrices S_i around each of those boundary divisors. For technical reasons having to do with the known structure of monodromy matrices [16], we must be willing to replace S_i by a positive integral power $(S_i)^{k_i}$. Then $R_i = (S_i)^{k_i} - \mathbf{1}$ is a nilpotent matrix, and we can define a logarithm

$$N_i = \log(S_i)^{k_i} = R_i - \frac{1}{2} R_i^2 + \frac{1}{3} R_i^3 - \cdots$$

by a finite power series. A key property we will use to identify large complex structure limit points is that the R_i are linearly independent (to "mirror" the corresponding property of a set of generators for the Kähler cone) and that for a general linear combination

$$R = a_1 R_1 + \ldots + a_n R_n,$$

we have $R^3 \neq 0$. Equivalently, we can check this using the logarithms: for a general combination

$$N = a_1 N_1 + \cdots + a_n N_n$$

we have $N^3 \neq 0$. We also anticipate by mirror symmetry that the linear transformations corresponding to the matrix N_i may be identified (in the large radius limit) with the linear tranformation on $\sum_{i=0}^{3} H^{2i}(\mathcal{M})$ given by cup product with the corresponding class $\omega_i \in H^2(\mathcal{M})$.

4.2. Monodromy calculations

In this subsection, we use the differential equations satisfied by the cohomology classes of $\mathcal{M}$ to calculate monodromy around the divisors of the compactification of the moduli space described in Section 3. Only the case of $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$ will be considered here, but the discussion can be immediately adapted to $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$.

These differential equations can be obtained as explained in Refs.[2,3,14,17–19]. In the notation of [3], we choose the basis for $H^3(\mathcal{M})$ corresponding to the choice of monomials

$$x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$$

$$x_0^2x_1^2x_2^2x_3^2x_4^2x_5^2 \qquad x_0^2x_1^5x_2^5x_3x_4x_5$$

$$x_0^3x_1^3x_2^3x_3^3x_4^3x_5^3 \qquad x_0^3x_1^6x_2^6x_3^2x_4^2x_5^2$$

$$x_0^4x_1^7x_2^7x_3^3x_4^3x_5^3$$

The differential equations take the matrix form

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial \psi} = RM_\psi \ , \qquad \frac{\partial R}{\partial \phi} = RM_\phi \tag{4.2}$$.

Said differently, the matrix of differential forms $-(M_\psi\, d\psi + M_\phi\, d\phi)$ is the connection matrix for the local system defined by the varying cohomology spaces in the chosen basis. This local system is only defined over a cover of the moduli space described by (ψ, ϕ) coordinates.

The matrices M_ψ, M_ϕ can be determined as described in Refs. [3,17]. Notice that they must satisfy the integrability condition

$$[M_\phi, M_\psi] = \frac{\partial M_\phi}{\partial \psi} - \frac{\partial M_\psi}{\partial \phi}$$

We find

$$M_\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\psi}{64\Delta} \\ -8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{15\psi^2}{8\Delta} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\psi & 0 & -\frac{\psi(44\psi^4+3\phi)}{4\Delta} \\ 0 & -8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{25\psi^3}{\Delta} \\ 0 & 0 & -8 & 24\psi^2 & 0 & \frac{2\psi^2(148\psi^4+13\phi)}{\Delta} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -64\psi^3 & -8 & -\frac{128\psi^3(8\psi^4+\phi)}{\Delta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{32Z} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\psi^2(4\psi^4+\phi)}{128Z\Delta} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3\psi}{4Z} & 0 & -\frac{1}{8Z} & -\frac{15\psi^3(4\psi^4+\phi)}{16Z\Delta} \\ -2 & 0 & \frac{3\phi}{2Z} & 0 & -\frac{\psi^3}{4Z} & -\frac{\alpha\psi^2}{8Z\Delta} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2\psi^2}{Z} & 0 & \frac{5\psi}{4Z} & \frac{\beta}{32Z\Delta} \\ 0 & -2 & -\frac{4\psi\phi}{Z} & 0 & \frac{2(3\psi^4+\phi)}{Z} & \frac{\gamma\psi^3}{Z\Delta} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -\frac{4\psi(4\psi^4+\phi)}{Z} & -\frac{\delta}{2Z\Delta} \end{pmatrix}$$

where we have defined

$$\Delta = (8\psi^4+\phi)^2 - 1 \qquad \beta = 25Z + 16\Delta$$

$$Z = 1-\phi^2 \qquad \gamma = 7Z + (4\psi^4+\phi)(36\psi^4+13\phi)$$

$$\alpha = 3(4\psi^4+\phi)^2 + 2Z \qquad \delta = 8Z(8\psi^4+\phi) + 3\Delta(4\psi^4+\phi)$$

However, our chosen basis is not invariant under the group of phase symmetries. To achieve invariance and hence describe a local system over the true moduli space, the basis must be multiplied by the respective quantities

$$\psi,\ \psi^2,\ \psi^5,\ \psi^3,\ \psi^6,\ \psi^7.$$

This is achieved by using the Leibniz rule:

$$d(\psi^r e_j) = \psi^r\, de_j + r\psi^{r-1} e_j\, d\psi,$$

where $\{e_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,6}$ is the original basis in which M_ψ and M_ϕ were computed. After effecting this change of basis, the connection matrix now becomes single valued in the coordinates $\tilde{\xi},\ \tilde{\eta},\ \tilde{\zeta}$ defined in Section 3.

The monodromy can be found by the methods of Deligne [20]. Since our basis is not flat, the monodromy we calculate is interpreted as an automorphism of the local system, rather than an automorphism of a fixed vector space. We use the blown up model of the moduli space constructed in Section 3.3. This is a smooth space, and the boundary is a normal crossings divisor. If we restrict attention to a situation locally near the intersection p of two normal crossings boundary divisors $D_1,\ D_2$, and choose coordinates (s_1, s_2) near p such that each D_i is locally defined by $s_i = 0$, then we can find the monodromy about D_1 by setting $s_2 = c$, where c is a non-zero constant. So we are reduced to calculations involving local systems on the punctured disk.

According to the "regularity theorem" [21], the differential equation (4.2) has regular singular points. It is shown in [20] that for such an equation, a basis can be found for which the connection matrix has at worst first order poles (and an algorithm is given there if needed). Its matrix of residues is easily calculated. The eigenvalues of the residue matrix are necessarily rational, and will in fact be integers if and only if the monodromy is unipotent. Supposing then that the monodromy is in fact unipotent, the eigenvalues will be integers. A basis can be chosen for which the eigenvalues will all be zero (the resulting extension of the local system to the puncture is called the *canonical extension* in [20]). If Res denotes the residue matrix, then the monodromy transformation will be conjugate to $S = e^{-2\pi i \mathrm{Res}}$. So the index of unipotency of S can be read off from the Jordan form of Res. This has been done near each of the normal crossing boundary points of the blowup of the moduli space described in Section 3.

Let $D_{\vec{v}}$ denote the toric divisor associated to an edge spanned by the vector $\vec{v}$. The results of the calculations may be summarized as follows.

Curve	Monodromy
$D_{(1,0)}$	S unipotent of index 2
$D_{(0,-1)}$	S unipotent of index 4
$D_{(-1,-1)}$	S^2 unipotent of index 4
$D_{(-1,0)}$	$S^8 = \mathbf{1}$
$D_{(0,1)}$	S^4 unipotent of index 2
C_{con}	S unipotent of index 2
C_1	S^2 unipotent of index 2
E_0	S^4 unipotent of index 2
E_1	S unipotent of index 2
E_2	S unipotent of index 2

We sketch the calculation for one of the boundary divisors. The other calculations are similar (and for the most part easier). We compute the Jordan form of the monodromy about C_{con} near the point where $\phi = 0$. Here, we have local coordinates $s_1 = (8\psi^4+\phi)^2 - 1$ and $s_2 = \phi^2$, with $s_1 = 0$ the local equation of C_{con}. To get the monodromy about C_{con}, we need the coefficient of ds_1/s_1 in the connection matrix, after changing to (s_1, s_2)

coordinates (that is, we calculate the residue along C_{con}).

The result is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dfrac{\psi^4}{4096(8\psi^4+\phi)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\dfrac{15\psi^4}{512(8\psi^4+\phi)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\dfrac{44\psi^4+3\phi}{256(8\psi^4+\phi)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dfrac{25\psi^4}{64(8\psi^4+\phi)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dfrac{148\psi^4+13\phi}{32(8\psi^4+\phi)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues are 0 and -2, hence the basis does not extend to a basis of the canonical extension, and this cannot be the logarithm of the monodromy. But this is easily remedied by changing the last basis element by multiplying it by s_1^2 (to remove the eigenvalue of -2), and adding on an appropriate linear combination of the other basis elements so that the residue of the connection matrix in this basis becomes strictly upper triangular. This is a straightforward calculation. After doing this, the resulting matrix has Jordan form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.3}$$

hence the corresponding monodromy transformation is unipotent of index 2.

We now apply the criterion stated at the end of Section 4.1. In our situation, we observe that among the divisors, only $D_{(0,-1)}$ and $D_{(-1,-1)}$ have monodromy transformations with a power that is maximally unipotent. The others all have index 2. $D_{(0,-1)}$ only meets the divisors $D_{(1,0)}$, C_1, and $D_{(-1,-1)}$, and $D_{(-1,-1)}$ only meets the divisor $D_{(1,0)}$. All other intersections are intersections of divisors with index 2 monodromy. At a point p of intersection of two divisors with unipotent monodromy of index 2, let N_1 and N_2 be the respective monodromy logarithms. Since $(a_1N_1+a_2N_2)^3=0$ for all a_1 and a_2, the point p cannot be a large complex structure limit point. This leaves only 3 candidates for the large complex structure limit, the three points where other divisors $D_{(1,0)}$, C_1, and $D_{(-1,-1)}$ meet $D_{(0,-1)}$. But the last two can be ruled out. To rule out $D_{(-1,-1)}$ it is easy to see from the local geometry of the moduli space that the monodromy about $D_{(0,-1)}$ is the square of the monodromy about $D_{(-1,-1)}$ (cf. [22]). So the monodromy logarithms are proportional,

hence cannot yield a large complex structure limit point. To rule out the intersection with C_1, it suffices to observe that our monodromy calculation shows that the Jordan decomposition of the logarithm of the square of the monodromy transformation about C_1 is also given by (4.3). If the corresponding intersection were a large complex structure limit, then the corresponding $(1,1)$ form on the mirror would annihilate a 5 dimensional subspace of $\sum_{i=0}^{3} H^{2i}(\mathcal{M})$, which would then have to be $\sum_{i=1}^{3} H^{2i}(\mathcal{M})$ by degree considerations and the fact that the form cannot annihilate the generator of $H^0(\mathcal{M})$. But this is impossible for a Kähler manifold.

So there is only one large complex structure limit point, the intersection of $D_{(1,0)}$ and $D_{(0,-1)}$.

5. **Considerations of Toric Geometry.**

There is another strategy for locating the large complex structure points: the *monomial-divisor mirror map* introduced in [5,23]. (To see more details of the theory in a general situation, the reader is referred to those papers.) This map is a refinement of Batyrev's proposal [24] for mirror symmetry for toric hypersurfaces, which generalizes the toric interpretation [25] of the orbifolding construction of mirror symmetry [9].

We start by considering the desingularization of $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}$ as a toric variety. Embed the torus $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ in $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}$ via

$$(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4) \mapsto (1, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4) \ .$$

As usual, let $N = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^*, T)$ be the lattice of 1 parameter subgroups of T, and let $M = \mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^*)$ be the dual lattice of characters of T. Put $M_{\mathbb{R}} = M \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes \mathbb{R}$. This variety has its $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ fan generated by the edges spanned by the vectors

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} (-1, & -2, & -2, & -2) \\ (\ 1, & 0, & 0, & 0) \\ (\ 0, & 1, & 0, & 0) \\ (\ 0, & 0, & 1, & 0) \\ (\ 0, & 0, & 0, & 1) \\ (\ 0, & -1, & -1, & -1) \end{array}$$

where each row contains the coordinates of an edge. The set of these edges will be denoted by S. Ordering has been chosen so that the first 5 edges, in order, correspond to the proper transforms of $x_i = 0$ under the identification of edges in the fan with divisors in the toric variety which are invariant under the torus. The edge spanned by the last vector corresponds to the exceptional divisor. Note that its coordinates are the average of the coordinates of the first two edges; this corresponds to the fact that $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ has been blown up.

Let $\mathbb{Z}^S$ denote the free abelian group on S, which may be thought of as the group of $\mathbb{Z}$-valued functions on S. This may also be thought of as the group of T-invariant divisors on $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}$. Linear equivalence is identified with the sublattice M of $\mathbb{Z}^S$ induced by viewing elements of M as $\mathbb{Z}$-linear functionals on N. So the space of linear equivalence classes of divisors is naturally the quotient lattice $P = \mathbb{Z}^S/M$. By duality, the dual lattice P^* is the natural space for coordinate functions on the vector space P. This is naturally the sublattice of $(\mathbb{Z}^S)^*$ which is annihilated by the transpose of the inclusion of M in $\mathbb{Z}^S$. We choose the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for P^* spanned by the two vectors in the rows of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We choose these two vectors as defining coordinate functions on P. The coordinates of the 6 divisor classes can be read off as the 6 columns of the above matrix. Note also that the divisor class L can be represented by $x_1 = 0$ and so corresponds to the vector $(1, 0)$ while the divisor class H can be represented by $x_3 = 0$ and corresponds to $(0, -1)$. Thus, the fourth quadrant is identified with the Kähler-cone. See also [5,23].

We now consider the moduli space of the mirror family of $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$. The most general G–invariant hypersurface of degree 8 on $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}$ has an equation of the form

$$c_1x_1^8 + c_2x_2^8 + c_3x_3^4 + c_4x_4^4 + c_5x_5^4 + c_6x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 + c_7x_1^4x_2^4 = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

In our context, we can interpret the monomial-divisor mirror map as saying that there is a natural T action on the 4 dimensional G-quotient space which contains the mirror hypersurfaces such that the weights of the seven monomials appearing in equation (5.1) are exactly $S \cup \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$. The reader can check that this action is given by

$$(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4) \cdot (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = ((\tau_1\tau_2^2\tau_3^2\tau_4^2)^{-1/8}x_1,\ \tau_1^{1/8}x_2, \tau_2^{1/4}x_3,\ \tau_3^{1/4}x_4,\ \tau_4^{1/4}x_5)\ .$$

The fractional exponents arise only because we have we have related everything to the symbols x_i, which are not G-invariant. The isomorphism type of this weighted hypersurface is left unchanged by rescaling of the coordinates via T, together with an overall rescaling of all the c_i by a constant. Let $\overline{T} = T \times \mathbb{C}^*$, a 5 dimensional torus. We can incorporate the rescaling of the c_i by appending a 1 to the list of T-weights, obtaining the $\overline{T}$ weights

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.2}$$

Using bars to denote a toric construction for $\overline{T}$, and letting $\overline{S}$ denote the set of 7 vectors in $\overline{N}$ from (5.2), we see that the moduli space is a quotient of $\mathbb{C}^{\overline{S}}$ by $(\mathbb{C}^*)^5$. This contains the 2 torus $T' = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\overline{S}}/(\mathbb{C}^*)^5$; we will use primes for toric constructions for T'. So the moduli space is a T'-toric variety. Proceeding similarly to the discussion for P, we can construct coordinates from the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the fan of our toric moduli space is spanned by the edges

$$(1, 0),\ (0, -1),\ (-2, -1),\ (0, 4)\ .$$

We may as well use $(0,1)$ in place of $(0,4)$, as it spans the same edge. (The toric diagram is shown in figure 5.) This is a special case of the secondary fan discussed by Oda and Park [26]; and this is a general situation arising in mirror symmetry for toric hypersurfaces as described in [5,23]. By comparison with our discussion of the Kähler moduli space, the large complex structure limit corresponds to the cone spanned by $(1,0)$, $(0,-1)$, that is, to the point of intersection of $D_{(1,0)} = C_\infty$ with $D_{(0,-1)}$.

To calculate in coordinates, let (u,v) be coordinates on the affine part of the toric variety corresponding to $(1,0),(0,1)$. In general, the coordinate functions on an affine toric variety are given by the elements of M which pair non-negatively with the elements of its cone in N, under the natural pairing of M and N. Note that u and v correspond to the elements $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ of M. Carrying out this calculation, we get a collection of local coordinates in the four regions of the moduli space. This appears in Table 5.1.

Edges of Cone	(u,v) Coordinates	(ψ,ϕ) Coordinates
$(1,0)$, $(0,-1)$	(u, v^{-1})	$(\phi^{-2}, \phi\psi^{-4})$
$(0,-1)$, $(-2,-1)$	$(u^{-1}, v^{-1}, uv^{-2})$	$(\phi^2, \phi\psi^{-4}, \psi^{-8})$
$(-2,-1)$, $(0,1)$	$(u^{-1}, u^{-1}v, u^{-1}v^2)$	$(\phi^2, \phi\psi^4, \psi^8)$
$(0,1)$, $(1,0)$	(u,v)	$(\phi^{-2}, \phi^{-1}\psi^4)$

Table 5.1: The local coordinates for the four regions of the mirror moduli space for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$.

The regions listed second and third are singular; note the relations

$$(u^{-1})(uv^{-2}) = (v^{-1})^2$$

and

$$(u^{-1})(u^{-1}v^2) = (u^{-1}v)^2$$

in the respective regions.

Comparing the coordinates in the third region with the multi-valued coordinates ϕ and ψ, we see that the coordinates u and v are expressed in terms of these as $u = \phi^{-2}$, $v = \phi^{-1}\psi^4$. In terms of ϕ and ψ, the coordinates in the first region are $\phi^2, \phi\psi^4, \psi^8$, as found earlier. Two of our charts here already appear in the $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2)}$ model of the moduli space.

The calculation for $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$ is similar. The only difference worth mentioning is that now we have $u = \phi^{-2}$ and $v = \phi^{-1}\psi^6$. The results are summarized in Table 5.2.

Edges of Cone	(u, v) Coordinates	(ψ, ϕ) Coordinates
$(1,0),(0,-1)$	(u, v^{-1})	$(\phi^{-2}, \phi\psi^{-6})$
$(0,-1),(-2,-1)$	$(u^{-1}, v^{-1}, uv^{-2})$	$(\phi^{2}, \phi\psi^{-6}, \psi^{-12})$
$(-2,-1),(0,1)$	$(u^{-1}, u^{-1}v, u^{-1}v^{2})$	$(\phi^{2}, \phi\psi^{6}, \psi^{12})$
$(0,1),(1,0)$	(u, v)	$(\phi^{-2}, \phi^{-1}\psi^{6})$

Table 5.2: The local coordinates for the four regions of the mirror moduli space for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$.

6. **The Periods**

6.1. The fundamental period

An important observation in the present work as indeed in [1,12,27] is that it is possible to find the periods of the holomorphic three–form by direct integration. Let B_0 be the cycle

$$B_0 = \Big\{ x_k \;\Big|\; x_5 = \text{const.}\ ,\ |x_1| = |x_2| = |x_3| = \delta\ , \\ x_4 \text{ given by the solution to } p(x) = 0 \text{ that tends to zero as } \psi \to \infty. \Big\}$$

and take for the holomophic three–form the quantity

$$\Omega = -\frac{|G|(\psi\, d)}{(2\pi i)^3}\, \frac{x_5 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3}{\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_4}}\ .$$

Certain factors have been introduced to simplify later expressions. The factor $|G|$ is the order of the group G, d denotes the degree of p and the factor of ψ ensures that Ω is invariant under the extended group $\widehat{G}$ and that the fundamental period, which we shall now define, tends to unity as $\psi \to \infty$.

We define the fundamental period to be

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_0(\phi,\psi) = & \int_{B_0} \Omega \\ & = -\frac{\psi\, d}{(2\pi i)^4} \int_{\gamma_1\times\cdots\times\gamma_4} \frac{x_5 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4}{p} \\ & = -\frac{\psi\, d}{(2\pi i)^5} \int_{\gamma_1\times\cdots\times\gamma_5} \frac{dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 dx_5}{p} \end{aligned}$$

where γ_j denotes the circle $|x_j| = \delta$. In passing to the second equality a factor equal to $|G|$ is absorbed owing to the identifications. We also use the fact that $\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_4}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_4} \frac{dx_4}{p}$ in virtue of the definition of B_0 which is such that the value of x_4 for which p vanishes tends to zero as $\psi \to \infty$ and hence lies inside γ_4 for sufficiently large ψ. The third equality follows on account of the fact that the second integral is, despite appearances, independent of x_5 in virtue of the scaling properties of the integrand. We may therefore introduce unity in the guise of $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_5} \frac{dx_5}{x_5}$.

In order to perform the integration we consider the case of $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$ in which

$$p_0 = x_1^8 + x_2^8 + x_3^4 + x_4^4 + x_5^4$$

and expand the quantity $\frac{1}{p}$ in inverse powers of ψ

$$\varpi_0 = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^5} \int_{\gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \gamma_5} \frac{dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 dx_5}{x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p_0 - 2\phi x_1^4 x_2^4)^m}{(8\psi)^m (x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5)^m} \ .$$

The only terms that contribute in this expression are the terms in the expansion of $(p_0 - 2\phi x_1^4 x_2^4)^m$ that cancel the factor of $(x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5)^m$ in the denominator. A little thought now reveals the fundamental period to be given by the expression

$$\varpi_0(\phi, \psi) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(8r+4s)!\,(-2\phi)^s}{((2r+s)!)^3\,(r!)^2\,s!\,(8\psi)^{8r+4s}} \tag{6.1}$$

which converges for sufficiently large ψ.

Before continuing, we would like to get a closer look at the periods for $\phi = 0$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_0(\psi, 0) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(8n)!}{((2n)!)^3\,n!^2} \frac{1}{(8\psi)^{8n}} \\ &= {}_6F_5\left(\tfrac{1}{8}, \tfrac{2}{8}, \tfrac{3}{8}, \tfrac{5}{8}, \tfrac{6}{8}, \tfrac{7}{8}; \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, 1, 1, 1; \frac{1}{2^6\psi^8}\right) \ , \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies a sixth order hypergeometric differential equation. One may check that precisely four of the indices of the equation corresponding to $\psi \to \infty$ vanish. This means that four of the six solutions of the equation behave like 1, $\log\psi$, $\log^2\psi$ and $\log^3\psi$ as $\psi \to \infty$. This also follows from the previously computed Jordan form of the monodromy about $D_{(-1,-1)}$, which consists of two blocks: there is a 4×4 block with eigenvalue 1, and a 2×2 block with eigenvalue -1. This fact will be of importance later when we come to construct the mirror map.

For $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$, the fundamental period turns out to be

$$\varpi_0(\phi, \psi) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(12r+6s)!\,(-2\phi)^s}{(6r+s)!\,((2r+s)!)^2\,(r!)^2\,s!\,(12\psi)^{12r+6s}} \tag{6.2}$$

When $\phi = 0$, ϖ_0 is given by

$$\varpi_0(\psi, 0) = {}_6F_5\left(\tfrac{1}{12}, \tfrac{3}{12}, \tfrac{5}{12}, \tfrac{7}{12}, \tfrac{9}{12}, \tfrac{11}{12}; \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, 1, 1, 1; \frac{1}{2^{10}3^6\psi^{12}}\right) \ ,$$

The six independent solutions of the associated hypergeometric differential equation provide a basis of periods when $\phi = 0$.

6.2. The Picard–Fuchs equations

The periods of the holomorphic three–form Ω can also be determined as solutions of the Picard–Fuchs differential equations that are in fact equivalent to the matrix equations discussed in Section 4.2. As implied by eq. (4.2), each row of R can be written in terms of periods ω (and their derivatives) that satisfy a coupled system of equations. For $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$, eq. (4.2) leads to the following system for $\omega \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \Omega/\psi$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^3\omega}{\partial\psi^3} - 32\psi^3\frac{\partial^3\omega}{\partial\psi^2\partial\phi} - 96\psi^2\frac{\partial^2\omega}{\partial\psi\partial\phi} - 32\psi\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\phi} = 0 \\
&16(\phi^2-1)\frac{\partial^2\omega}{\partial\phi^2} + \psi^2\frac{\partial^2\omega}{\partial\psi^2} + 8\psi\phi\frac{\partial^2\omega}{\partial\psi\partial\phi} + 3\psi\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\psi} + 24\phi\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\phi} + \omega = 0 \\
&(8\psi^4+\phi+1)(8\psi^4+\phi-1)\frac{\partial^4\omega}{\partial\psi^3\partial\phi} + 128\psi^3(8\psi^4+\phi)\frac{\partial^3\omega}{\partial\psi^2\partial\phi} + 50\psi^3\frac{\partial^2\omega}{\partial\psi^2} + \\
&\quad +16\psi^2(148\psi^4+13\phi)\frac{\partial^2\omega}{\partial\psi\partial\phi} + 30\psi^2\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\psi} + 16\psi(44\psi^4+3\phi)\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\phi} + 2\psi\omega = 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

This system is somewhat complicated and it is for this reason that we base our development on the fundamental period ϖ_0 that is obtained by direct integration. The equations (6.3) are however sometimes useful. An example of this is provided by the fact that it is easy to show from (6.3) that there are two periods which in the limit that $\phi \to 1$ are given asymptotically by $(\phi-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $(\phi-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\log(\phi-1)$. A knowledge of these asymptotic forms is useful when discussing the degeneration of the manifold as $\phi \to 1$ and in selecting an integer basis.

6.3. Analytic properties of the fundamental period

A useful alternative expression of the period (6.1) is obtained by setting $n = 2r + s$ and summing over n and r:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varpi_0(\phi,\psi) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{(4n)!\,(-2\phi)^{n-2r}}{(n!)^3\,(r!)^2\,(n-2r)!\,(8\psi)^{4n}} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4n)!(-1)^n}{(n!)^4(8\psi)^{4n}}\,u_n(\phi) \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\phi\pm1}{8\psi^4}\right| < 1 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$u_n(\phi) = (2\phi)^n \sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{n!}{(r!)^2\,(n-2r)!\,(2\phi)^{2r}} \tag{6.5}$$

and the convergence criterion follows from the asymptotic form of u_n for large n which will be calculated presently.

The function $u_n(\phi)$ is a polynomial of degree n. However it proves useful to extend the definition of $u_n(\phi)$ to complex values of n. To this end we note that we might as well take the upper limit of summation in (6.5) to be ∞ since the factor $(n-2r)! = \Gamma(n-2r+1)$ causes the summand to vanish for $r > \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. By means of the duplication formula for the Γ–function we may write

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - 2r + 1)} = -2^{2r-\nu-1}\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sin(\pi\nu)\, \Gamma\left(r - \frac{\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(r - \frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

In this manner we may relate $u_\nu(\phi)$ to the hypergeometric function in a number of ways, the following relation being the most useful:

$$u_\nu(\phi) = (2\phi)^\nu \, {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{\nu}{2},\, -\frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{1}{2};\, 1;\, \frac{1}{\phi^2}\right) \ . \tag{6.6}$$

The erudite reader will recognise that these functions are related to Legendre functions. However the standard definitions take the branch cut to run from $\phi = -1$ to $\phi = +1$ which would be awkward for our purposes since this would make $u_\nu(\phi)$ discontinuous in a neighborhood of $\phi = 0$. We choose instead to have the cuts run out along the real axis from the points $\phi = \pm 1$ to ∞. The relation (6.6) holds in the upper half–plane. Analytic continuation of $u_\nu(\phi)$ to the rest of the plane is most easily accomplished by means of the integral representation

$$u_\nu(\phi) = \frac{2^\nu}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}} \, (\phi - \zeta)^\nu \tag{6.7}$$

which follows from Euler's integral for the hypergeometric function. It is simplest to take (6.7) as the defining relation and we will use this as the basis of our study of the properties of $u_\nu(\phi)$. Initially ϕ is taken to lie in the upper half–plane. But we may analytically continue to the lower half–plane (and even beyond, by crossing the branch cut). In so doing we must, of course, ensure that the contour of integration in (6.7) is deformed ahead of ϕ as in figure 6.

From the integral representation a number of results follow easily. The differential equation satisfied by $u_\nu(\phi)$ is

$$(\phi^2 - 1)\frac{d^2 u_\nu}{d\phi^2} - (2\nu - 1)\phi \frac{du_\nu}{d\phi} + \nu^2 u_\nu = 0$$

whose second solution can be taken to be $u_\nu(-\phi)$ though we shall see that $u_\nu(\phi)$ and $u_\nu(-\phi)$ are not linearly independent when ν is an integer so we shall shortly introduce another solution.

An elementary but useful result is the value of $u_\nu(\phi)$ at $\phi = 0$

$$u_\nu(0) = \frac{2^\nu \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\pi\nu/2}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\nu}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \ .$$

In particular

$$u_{2n+1}(0) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad u_{-(2n+2)}(0) = 0 \quad , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \ .$$

More generally we have the relations

$$u_n(-\phi) = (-1)^n u_n(\phi) \qquad \text{and} \qquad u_{-n}(-\phi) = (-1)^{n+1} u_{-n}(\phi) \quad , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \ . \tag{6.8}$$

These are elementary consequences of (6.7). The second relation uses also the well known fact that $P_\nu(z) = P_{-\nu-1}(z)$.

It is of interest to note with regard to the discussion of Section 3.2 concerning the degeneration of the manifold when $\phi = 1$, that

$$u_n(1) = \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2}$$

as is easily seen from (6.7). Thus we find that when $\phi = 1$ the fundamental period takes the form

$$\varpi_0(1, \psi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n)!\,(4n)!}{(n!)^6} \frac{(-1)^n}{(8\psi)^{4n}}$$

which is the fundamental period for the mirror of the manifold $\mathbb{P}_5[2, 4]$ (see for example [12]).

Returning to the case of general ϕ, it is also worth recording the elementary fact that

$$\frac{du_\nu(\phi)}{d\phi} = 2\nu u_{\nu-1}(\phi) \ . \tag{6.9}$$

From the integral representation we may derive the asymptotic forms,

$$\begin{aligned} u_\nu(\phi) &\sim \frac{2^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi\nu}} \left\{ (\phi+1)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} - \ i(\phi-1)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \ , \\ u_\nu(-\phi) &\sim \frac{2^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi\nu}} \left\{ e^{i\pi\nu}(\phi+1)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} - \ ie^{-i\pi\nu}(\phi-1)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \ , \end{aligned} \qquad \nu \to \infty \ ,$$

we see that the the series (6.1) and (6.4) converge when

$$\left| \frac{\phi \pm 1}{8\psi^4} \right| < 1 \ . \tag{6.10}$$

From the integral (6.7), we find the monodromy relations for the functions $u_\nu(\phi)$ and $u_\nu(-\phi)$. The monodromy about $\phi = 1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_\nu(\phi) &\to (1 - e^{2i\pi\nu})u_\nu(\phi) + e^{i\pi\nu}u_\nu(-\phi) \\ u_\nu(-\phi) &\to e^{i\pi\nu}u_\nu(\phi) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

While the monodromy about $\phi = -1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_\nu(\phi) &\to e^{i\pi\nu}u_\nu(-\phi) \\ u_\nu(-\phi) &\to e^{i\pi\nu}u_\nu(\phi) + (1 - e^{2i\pi\nu})u_\nu(-\phi) \ . \end{aligned}$$

We may also continue the functions around $\phi = \infty$. If we take $|\phi| > 1$, replace ϕ by $\phi e^{i\theta}$ and let θ vary from 0 to π we find

$$\begin{aligned} u_\nu(\phi e^{i\pi}) &= e^{i\nu\pi}u_\nu(\phi) \\ u_\nu(-\phi e^{i\pi}) &= (1 - e^{2i\nu\pi})u_\nu(\phi) + e^{i\nu\pi}u_\nu(-\phi) \ . \end{aligned}$$

We have seen in (6.8) that the functions $u_\nu(\phi)$ and $u_\nu(-\phi)$ become linearly dependent when ν is an integer. In fact their Wronskian [3] has the form

$$W[u_\nu(\phi), u_\nu(-\phi)] = -\frac{2^{2\nu+1}\sin\nu\pi}{\pi}(\phi^2 - 1)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \ .$$

We therefore define

$$v_\nu(\phi) = \frac{\pi}{\sin\nu\pi}\left(u_\nu(\phi)\cos\nu\pi - u_\nu(-\phi)\right) \ .$$

Since $W[u_\nu, v_\nu] = 2^{2\nu+1}(\phi^2 - 1)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}$ the functions u_ν and v_ν are linearly independent for all ν. When ν is an integer we have the relation

$$v_n(\phi) = \frac{\partial u_n(\phi)}{\partial\nu} - (-1)^n\frac{\partial u_n(-\phi)}{\partial\nu} \ . \tag{6.12}$$

It turns out that in order to calculate the mirror map we shall also need explicit expressions for the functions $\frac{\partial u_n(\phi)}{\partial\nu}$. We therefore define a further set of functions for the case that ν is an integer

$$w_n(\phi) = \frac{\partial u_n(\phi)}{\partial\nu} + (-1)^n\frac{\partial u_n(-\phi)}{\partial\nu} \ .$$

We need now to find workable expressions for the v_n and w_n. These may be found from the differential equation and the monodromy relations. The v_n transform under two operations. The first of these is $\phi \to -\phi$ (we shall call this operation A since it is the restriction to ϕ of $A : (\psi, \phi) \to (\alpha\psi, -\phi)$) and the second is B, transport around $\phi = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} A: \ & v_n(\phi) \to (-1)^{n+1}v_n(\phi) \\ B: \ & v_n(\phi) \to -v_n(\phi) - 2\pi i u_n(\phi) \ . \end{aligned}$$

[3] Our convention is that $W[f, g] = fg' - f'g$.

Consider first the case $n = 0$. We have $u_0 = 1$ and it is easy in this case to to solve the differential equation directly. The solution with the requisite properties is

$$v_0(\phi) = 2\log(\phi + \sqrt{\phi^2 - 1}) - i\pi \ .$$

For the case of general n consider the function

$$f_n(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{(v_n(\phi) - u_n(\phi)v_0(\phi))}{\sqrt{\phi^2 - 1}} \ .$$

The function f_n is single valued under transport around $\phi = 1$ hence also around $\phi = -1$ and around infinity. Thus f_n contains no logarithms and is $O(\phi^{n-1})$ as $\phi \to \infty$. Since f_n is, moreover, regular at $\phi = \pm 1$ it is a polynomial. We conclude that

$$v_n(\phi) = u_n(\phi)v_0(\phi) - \sqrt{\phi^2 - 1}f_n(\phi) \tag{6.13}$$

with f_n a polynomial of order $(n - 1)$.

Now by differentiating the differential equation with respect to ν we see that

$$\left\{(\phi^2 - 1)\frac{d^2}{d\phi^2} - (2\nu - 1)\phi\frac{d}{d\phi} + \nu^2\right\}\frac{\partial u_\nu}{\partial \nu} = 2\phi u'_\nu - 2\nu u_\nu \ . \tag{6.14}$$

From the integral representation (6.7) we have that

$$u_\nu(\phi) = (2\phi)^\nu + O(\phi^{\nu-2}) \ \text{ as } \ \phi \to \infty$$

The differential operator on the RHS of (6.14) annihilates ϕ^ν so the RHS is in fact $O(\phi^{\nu-2})$. Thus we may write

$$\frac{\partial u_n(\phi)}{\partial \nu} = A_n u_n(\phi) + B_n v_n(\phi) + O(\phi^{n-2})$$

with the $O(\phi^{n-2})$ term a polynomial. By differentiating the integral representation (6.7) and taking ϕ to be large we see that $B_n = \frac{1}{2}$ and there is a relation between A_n and the leading term of the quantity $\phi f_n(\phi)$. The conclusion is that

$$w_n(\phi) = i\pi u_n(\phi) + \phi f_n(\phi) + g_n(\phi) \tag{6.15}$$

with g_n a polynomial of order $(n - 2)$.

The computation of the auxilliary polynomials f_n and g_n is surprisingly simple due to the relation (6.9) in virtue of which the differential equation becomes a recurrence relation

$$\nu u_\nu(\phi) = 2(2\nu - 1)\phi u_{\nu-1} - 4(\nu - 1)(\phi^2 - 1)u_{\nu-2} \tag{6.16}$$

which, together with the initial values $u_0(\phi) = 1$, $u_1(\phi) = 2\phi$, is an efficient way to generate the u_n. By differentiating (6.16) we find recurrence relations for the v_n and the w_n and these provide recurrence relations for the auxilliary polynomials. Thus we have

$$nu_n = 2(2n-1)\phi u_{n-1} - 4(n-1)(\phi^2-1)u_{n-2} \ , \qquad u_0 = 1 \ , \ u_1 = 2\phi \ ,$$

$$nf_n = 2(2n-1)\phi f_{n-1} - 4(n-1)(\phi^2-1)f_{n-2} \ , \qquad f_0 = 0 \ , \ f_1 = 4 \ ,$$

$$ng_n = 2(2n-1)\phi g_{n-1} - 4(n-1)(\phi^2-1)g_{n-2} - 2u_n + 8\phi u_{n-1} - 8(\phi^2-1)u_{n-2} \ , \qquad g_0 = 0 \ , \ g_1 = 0 \ .$$

The first few polynomials are presented in Table 6.1.

$u_0 = 1$	
$u_1 = 2\phi$	
$u_2 = 2 + 4\phi^2$	
$u_3 = 12\phi + 8\phi^3$	
$u_4 = 6 + 48\phi^2 + 16\phi^4$	
$u_5 = 60\phi + 160\phi^3 + 32\phi^5$	
$u_6 = 20 + 360\phi^2 + 480\phi^4 + 64\phi^6$	
$f_0 = 0$	$g_0 = 0$
$f_1 = 4$	$g_1 = 0$
$f_2 = 12\phi$	$g_2 = 2$
$f_3 = \frac{32}{3} + \frac{88\phi^2}{3}$	$g_3 = \frac{28\phi}{3}$
$f_4 = \frac{220\phi}{3} + \frac{200\phi^3}{3}$	$g_4 = 7 + \frac{92\phi^2}{3}$
$f_5 = \frac{512}{15} + \frac{4856\phi^2}{15} + \frac{2192\phi^4}{15}$	$g_5 = \frac{898\phi}{15} + \frac{1304\phi^3}{15}$
$f_6 = \frac{1848\phi}{5} + \frac{5824\phi^3}{5} + \frac{1568\phi^5}{5}$	$g_6 = \frac{74}{3} + \frac{1572\phi^2}{5} + \frac{1136\phi^4}{5}$

Table 6.1: The first few polynomials u_n together with the auxilliary polynomials f_n and g_n.

For future purposes we need to introduce the combination $h_n = \phi f_n + g_n$. It can be shown that

$$h_n(\phi) = 2(2\phi)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{n!}{(k!)^2(n-2k)!(2\phi)^k}[\Psi(1+n) - \Psi(1+k)] \ ,$$

where $\Psi(z)$ is the Digamma function.

The results for the function $u_n(\phi)$ are also applicable to the $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$ model. Indeed, in this case the fundamental period can be cast in the form

$$\varpi_0(\phi,\psi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(6n)!(-1)^n}{(n!)^3(3n)!(12\psi)^{6n}}\, u_n(\phi) \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\phi \pm 1}{864\,\psi^6}\right| < 1 \ , \tag{6.17}$$

where $u_n(\phi)$ is precisely the same function as that given in eq. (6.5). When $\phi = 1$, ϖ_0 becomes the fundamental period of the mirror of $\mathbb{P}_5^{(1,1,1,1,1,3)}[2,6]$ (as calculated in [12]).

The above considerations will shortly enable us to compute the mirror map. First however we need to find explicit expressions for the periods. It is to this that we now turn.

6.4. Analytic continuation of the periods

In order to obtain an expression for ϖ_0 valid for small ψ we proceed as in [1]. We will only show detailed results for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$. The first step is to write the period as an integral of Barne's type

$$\varpi_0(\psi,\phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C d\nu \frac{\Gamma(-\nu)\Gamma(1+4\nu)}{\Gamma^3(1+\nu)}(2^{12}\psi^4)^{-\nu}u_\nu(\phi) \ , \qquad -\pi < \arg\left(\frac{8\psi^4}{\phi\pm 1}\right) < \pi \ .$$

If the inequality (6.10) is satisfied then the contour can be closed to the right encompassing the poles of $\Gamma(-\nu)$ and (6.4) is recovered as a sum of residues. If, on the other hand $|8\psi^4| < |\phi \pm 1|$ then the contour may be closed to the left encompassing the poles of $\Gamma(1+4\nu)$. In this way we obtain an expression for ϖ_0 and by acting on this with $\mathcal{A}^j$ we find

$$\varpi_j(\psi,\phi) = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \alpha^{mj}\Gamma(\frac{m}{4})}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma^3(1-\frac{m}{4})}(2^{12}\psi^4)^{\frac{m}{4}} u_{-\frac{m}{4}}((-1)^j\phi) \quad , \quad \left|\frac{8\psi^4}{\phi\pm 1}\right| < 1 \ . \tag{6.18}$$

We now have explicit expressions for the ϖ_j. Note that the terms containing ψ^{4n} are in fact absent owing to the factors of $\Gamma(1-\frac{m}{4})$. Thus we have

$$\varpi_0 + \varpi_2 + \varpi_4 + \varpi_6 = 0$$
$$\varpi_1 + \varpi_3 + \varpi_5 + \varpi_7 = 0$$

expressing the fact that only six of the ϖ_j are linearly independent.

We wish to compute the monodromy of these periods around the singularity at $\phi = 1$ using the relations (6.11). We cannot however do this directly since the process of analytic continuation about $\phi = 1$ takes us out of the region of convergence of (6.18). We must therefore first continue the ϖ_j to large values of ψ and then use (6.11). To this end we write the variable of summation in (6.18) as $4n + r$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_{2j} &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^3}\sum_{r=1}^{3}(-1)^r \sin^3\left(\frac{\pi r}{4}\right)\alpha^{2jr}\xi_r \\ \varpi_{2j+1} &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^3}\sum_{r=1}^{3}(-1)^r \sin^3\left(\frac{\pi r}{4}\right)\alpha^{(2j+1)r}\eta_r \ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_r(\psi,\phi) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma^4(n+\frac{r}{4})}{\Gamma(4n+r)}(2^{12}\psi^4)^{n+\frac{r}{4}}(-1)^n u_{-(n+\frac{r}{4})}(\phi) \ , \\ \eta_r(\psi,\phi) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma^4(n+\frac{r}{4})}{\Gamma(4n+r)}(2^{12}\psi^4)^{n+\frac{r}{4}} u_{-(n+\frac{r}{4})}(-\phi) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

The only difference between the expressions for ξ_r and η_r is the replacement of $(-1)^n u_{-(n+\frac{r}{4})}(\phi)$ by $u_{-(n+\frac{r}{4})}(-\phi)$. The point of this exercise is that it is easier to continue the ξ_r and the η_r than the ϖ_j. The continuation of these new functions is again accomplished by introducing integral representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_r(\psi,\phi) = &\quad \int_C \frac{d\nu}{2i\sin\pi(\nu+\frac{r}{4})}\frac{\Gamma^4(-\nu)}{\Gamma(-4\nu)}(2^{12}\psi^4)^{-\nu}u_\nu(\phi) \\ \eta_r(\psi,\phi) = &-\int_C \frac{d\nu}{2i\sin\pi(\nu+\frac{r}{4})}\frac{\Gamma^4(-\nu)}{\Gamma(-4\nu)}(2^{12}\psi^4)^{-\nu}\frac{\left[u_\nu(\phi)\sin\pi(\nu+\frac{r}{4})+u_\nu(-\phi)\sin(\frac{\pi r}{4})\right]}{\sin\pi\nu} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

Again these representations are justified by closing the contours to the left when ψ is small and checking that the previous expressions(6.20) are recovered as sums over the residues associated with the zeros of $\sin\pi(\nu+\frac{r}{4})$. Note that, despite appearances, the factor of $\sin\pi\nu$ in the η_r integral does not introduce additional poles on the negative real axis since the quantity in the numerator vanishes for $\nu = -1, -2, \ldots$ in virtue of (6.8). The η_r integrand is more complicated than the ξ_r integrand but has to be taken this way in order to secure convergence of the integral. When ψ is large the contours can be closed to the right so as to encompass the poles where ν is an integer. These are of third order for ξ_r and of fourth order for η_r.

Finally we are able to compute the monodromy of the periods about $\phi = 1$. For this it suffices to consider just the contributions of the poles at $\nu = 0$ and $\nu = 1$ and to set $\phi = 0$. Setting $\phi = 0$ changes the order of the poles in virtue of the fact that $u_\nu(0)$ has

zeros at the positive integers. The assiduous reader will check that ξ_r has a triple pole at $\nu = 0$ and a double pole at $\nu = 1$ while η_r has a fourth order pole at $\nu = 0$ and a double pole at $\nu = 1$. The residues at these poles are linear combinations of the six quantities

$$1 \ , \ \log\psi \ , \ \log^2\psi \ , \ \log^3\psi \ , \ \psi^{-4} \ , \ \psi^{-4}\log\psi \ ,$$

as was anticipated on the basis of our analysis of the periods for $\phi = 0$, as well as by the calculation of the Jordan form of the monodromy about $D_{(-1,-1)}$. By employing the rules (6.11), again setting $\phi = 0$ and calculating the new residues we find

$$\varpi \to \mathsf{B}\varpi$$

where

$$\varpi = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_0 \\ \varpi_1 \\ \varpi_2 \\ \varpi_3 \\ \varpi_4 \\ \varpi_5 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathsf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 3 & -3 & 4 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\ -3 & 3 & -4 & 4 & -2 & 3 \\ -3 & 3 & -3 & 3 & -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

The computation of the monodromy about the conifold is straightforward. The periods have the structure

$$\varpi_j(\psi,\phi) = \frac{c_j}{2\pi i}\mathcal{G}_0 \ \log(8\psi^4 - \phi - 1) + f_j(\psi,\phi)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_0$, the period corresponding to the 3–sphere that vanishes at the conifold, and the f_j are functions that are analytic in a neighborhood of the conifold. For the purpose of computing the coefficients c_j it suffices to again set $\phi = 0$ and to observe that the coefficient of the logarithm can be found by studying the convergence of the series (6.18). If $\mathcal{G}_0$ has the asymptotic form

$$\mathcal{G}_0(\psi,0) \sim \text{const.}(8\psi^4 - 1)$$

then

$$\frac{d^2\varpi_j}{d\psi^2} \sim \text{const.}\frac{c_j}{(8\psi^4 - 1)} \ .$$

On the other hand the leading behaviour of the series (6.20) can be found by use of Stirling's formula

$$\Gamma(z) \sim \sqrt{2\pi}z^{z-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-z} \ .$$

There is an overall multiplicative constant that needs to be fixed. However we know also, by means of an argument precisely parallel to that made in [1] that $\varpi_1 \to \varpi_0$ and

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \varpi_1 - \varpi_0 \ .$$

Thus we find

$$\mathcal{T}:\ \varpi \to \mathsf{T}\varpi \quad \text{with} \quad \mathsf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As expected, the Jordan form of T is indeed the exponential of (4.3).

For completeness we record the matrix corresponding to monodromy about C_0 which is the operation $\mathcal{A}:\ (\psi, \phi) \to (\alpha\psi, -\phi)$

$$\mathcal{A}:\ \varpi \to \mathsf{A}\varpi \quad \text{with} \quad \mathsf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also calculate a monodromy transformation $\mathcal{T}_\infty$, the composite of $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{-1}$, which will be useful later; its matrix is

$$\mathsf{T}_\infty = (\mathsf{AT})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -3 & -3 & 1 & -3 & 0 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

7. **The Mirror Map and Large Complex Structure Limit**

7.1. Generalities

We wish to find the explicit map between the (extended) Kähler-cone of $\mathcal{M}$ and the space of complex structures of $\mathcal{W}$. Both of these spaces enjoy special geometry. The mirror map follows by relating the period vectors for these two spaces.

Now for the complex structures we have a period vector

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_0 \\ \mathcal{G}_1 \\ \mathcal{G}_2 \\ z^0 \\ z^1 \\ z^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \qquad \mathcal{G}_a = \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial z^a} \tag{7.1}$$

such that the new periods correspond to a basis that is integral and symplectic. In other words we need to find a homology basis $(A^a, B_b),\ a, b = 0, 1, 2$ with

$$A^a \cap A^b = 0 \quad , \quad B_a \cap B_b = 0 \quad , \quad A^a \cap B_b = \delta^a_b \ .$$

The components of Π are then given by

$$z^a = \int_{A^a} \Omega \quad , \quad \mathcal{G}_a = \int_{B_a} \Omega \ .$$

We may choose A^0 to be the torus corresponding to our fundamental period ϖ_0 and B_0 to be the three–sphere that shrinks to zero at the conifold. Thus

$$z^0 = \varpi_0 \ \text{ and } \ \mathcal{G}_0 = \varpi_1 - \varpi_0 \ .$$

The argument that A^0 and B_0 meet in a single point precisely parallels an argument given in [1]. For a given choice of symplectic basis (A^a, B_b) there will be a constant real matrix m such that

$$\Pi = m\varpi \ .$$

On the Kähler side the analogue of the decomposition into A and B cycles is the decomposition of a period vector

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_0 \\ \mathcal{F}_1 \\ \mathcal{F}_2 \\ w^0 \\ w^1 \\ w^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \qquad \mathcal{F}_a = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial w^a} \tag{7.2}$$

with respect to $H^0 \oplus H^2 \oplus H^4 \oplus H^6$. The generators of H^0 and H^6 are special and we identify them with A^0 and B_0. The flat structure here is identified with the natural flat structure on the Kähler-cone

$$B + iJ \;=\; t^j e_j \tag{7.3}$$

where the e_j are a basis for $H^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $t^j = w^j/w^0$. For the case in hand we may take $e_j = (H, L)$.

7.2. The large complex structure limit for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$

We have earlier identified the location of the large complex structure limit, both by using monodromy properties and by using the monomial divisor mirror map. Now we wish to study the monodromy properties more carefully, in order to find the correct coordinates on the moduli space.

Recall the form of the monodromy properties (4.1): if the S_i represent monodromy transformations about boundary divisors, and we set $R_i = S_i - \mathbf{1}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &i. && [R_i, R_j] = 0 \\ &ii. && R_i R_j R_k = \mathring{y}_{ijk} Y \\ &iii. && R_i R_j R_k R_l = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

where Y is a matrix independent of i.

Let us now consider our $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$ model in detail. We examine the intersection of $D_{(0,-1)}$ and $C_\infty = D_{(1,0)}$. The monodromies of ϖ about these curves are

$$\mathsf{C}_\infty = (\mathsf{ATB})^{-1} = \mathsf{B}^{-1}\mathsf{T}_\infty \quad , \quad \mathsf{D}_{(0,-1)} = \mathsf{T}_\infty^2 \ .$$

We set

$$\mathsf{R}_1 = \mathsf{T}_\infty^2 - \mathbf{1} \quad , \quad \mathsf{R}_2 = \mathsf{B}^{-1}\mathsf{T}_\infty - \mathbf{1}$$

and we immediately check that

$$[\mathsf{R}_1, \mathsf{R}_2] = 0 \ , \ \mathsf{R}_1^3 = 8\mathsf{Y} \ , \ \mathsf{R}_1^2\mathsf{R}_2 = 4\mathsf{Y} \ , \ \mathsf{R}_2^2 = 0$$

with Y a certain matrix. Moreover

$$\mathsf{R}_1\mathsf{Y} = \mathsf{R}_2\mathsf{Y} = 0$$

so that we see that R_1 and R_2 have the same algebra as H and L and we conclude once again that $D_{(0,-1)} \cap C_\infty$ corresponds to the large complex structure limit.

We now wish to relate explicitly the w basis to the ϖ basis. We may regard the cycles as corresponding to row vectors such that their inner product with the period vector ϖ gives the corresponding period. Thus, for example,

$$A^0 = (1,0,0,0,0,0) \quad \text{and} \quad B_0 = (-1,1,0,0,0,0)$$

since

$$w^0 = A^0\varpi = \varpi_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_0 = B_0\varpi = \varpi_1 - \varpi_0 \ .$$

The identification of the t^i follows by elementary considerations of linear algebra. We will have

$$t^i = \frac{A^i\varpi}{\varpi_0} \quad , \quad i = 1, 2$$

with the A^i two row vectors. The effect of monodromy $\mathcal{S}_j$ on ϖ is $\varpi \to \mathsf{S}_j\varpi$ or equivalently $A^i \to A^i\mathsf{S}_j$. in terms of the R matrices this amounts to

$$A^i\mathsf{R}_j \ = \ A^0\,\delta^i{}_j \ .$$

This determines the A^i up to the addition of a multiple of A^0 *i.e.* t^i is determined up to the addition of a constant. Applying these general considerations to the model at hand, and with a certain choice of constants that simplify later expressions, we find

$$A^1 = \frac{1}{4}(-1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0) \quad , \quad A^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1, 3, -2, 2, -1, 1)$$

and hence t^1 and t^2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} t^1 &= -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{(2\varpi_2 + \varpi_4)}{4\varpi_0} \\ t^2 &= \ \ \frac{1}{4} - \frac{(2\varpi_2 + \varpi_4)}{4\varpi_0} + \frac{(3\varpi_1 + 2\varpi_3 + \varpi_5)}{4\varpi_0} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

These relations, to which we shall shortly return, express the mirror map. First however we shall complete the process of finding the symplectic basis.

We now know the four quantities $\mathcal{F}_0$, w^0, w^1 and w^2 in terms of the ϖ_j and we need to find similar expressions for the two remaining periods $\mathcal{F}_1$, $\mathcal{F}_2$. To this end we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} = -\frac{1}{6}\Big(8\,(t^1)^3 + 3\cdot 4\,(t^1)^2t^2\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\alpha\,(t^1)^2 + 2\beta\,t^1t^2 + \gamma\,(t^2)^2\Big) \\ + \Big((\delta - \tfrac{2}{3})\,t^1 + \epsilon\,t^2\Big) + \xi + \cdots \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

The constants $(\alpha, \beta, \ldots, \epsilon)$ can be adjusted by symplectic transformations. The matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & Q \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta' & \epsilon' \\ \delta' & \alpha' & \beta' \\ \epsilon' & \beta' & \gamma' \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.7}$$

is symplectic and shifts the constants $\alpha \to \alpha + \alpha'$ etc. From the prepotential (7.6) we find matrices S_1, S_2 corresponding to the monodromy $\mathcal{S}_i$. We identify $\Pi\!\!\Pi$ with Π so

$$\Pi\!\!\Pi \ = \ \Pi \ = \ m\varpi \tag{7.8}$$

the matrix m has the vectors (A^a, B_b) as its rows and hence is of the form

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_0 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{7.9}$$

The point is that the prepotential (7.6) and equations (7.8) and (7.9) are consistent only for a choice of the undetermined parameters that is unique up to to the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{Z})$. To show this explicitly we start with the prepotential (7.6) and consider the effect of making, in turn, the replacements $t^1 \to t^1 + 1$ and $t^2 \to t^2 + 1$. In this way we find matrices

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 2\,\delta & \alpha{+}4 & \beta{+}2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \alpha{-}4 & -8 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \beta{-}2 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 2\,\epsilon & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \beta & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

The matrices S_i and S_i must be related by $S_i = m\mathsf{S}_i m^{-1}$ or equivalently

$$S_i m - m\mathsf{S}_i = 0 \quad , \quad i = 1, 2 \ . \tag{7.10}$$

These conditions lead to a number of linear equations for the unknowns (y_j, z_k) in m. (It is a useful fact that the implementation of the conditions (7.10) does not require the inversion of m.) Solving these equations determines all of the (y_j, z_k) as linear combinations of the parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \ldots, \epsilon)$. The next step is to impose the condition that the monodromy matrix $T = m\mathsf{T}m^{-1}$ be symplectic. This determines δ and ϵ

$$\delta = -3 \quad , \quad \epsilon = -1 \ .$$

In fact we find

$$m\mathsf{T}m^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

as was to be expected. It remains to find the parameters α, β, γ. The matrices $A = m\mathsf{A}m^{-1}$ and $B = m\mathsf{B}m^{-1}$ must also be integral and symplectic. They are symplectic for all values of the parameters but they are both integral only if α, β, γ are all integral. Being integral

these three parameters can be set to any value by means of an $\mathrm{Sp}(6,\mathbb{Z})$ transformation of the form (7.7). Perhaps the simplest choice is to set

$$\alpha = 0 \ , \quad \beta = -2 \ , \quad \gamma = 0 \ .$$

This yields the following value for the matrix m

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

7.3. Inversion of the mirror map

We return now to the mirror map (7.5). Our task is to invert this relation to obtain $\psi(t^1,t^2)$ and $\phi(t^1,t^2)$. It is convenient, in this case, to make the change of variable

$$\begin{aligned} t = 2\,t^1 + t^2 &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\varpi_0}\left[(\varpi_0 + 2\varpi_2 + \varpi_4) + (3\varpi_1 + 2\varpi_3 + \varpi_5)\right] \\ s = \qquad - t^2 &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\varpi_0}\left[(\varpi_0 + 2\varpi_2 + \varpi_4) - (3\varpi_1 + 2\varpi_3 + \varpi_5)\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

We have integral representations (6.19) and (6.20) for the ϖ_j and these quantities simplify considerably when we form the combinations that we need. We find

$$\left.\begin{matrix} t \\ s \end{matrix}\right\} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\varpi_0}\int_C \frac{d\nu}{\sin^2\nu\pi}\frac{\Gamma(1+4\nu)}{\Gamma^4(1+\nu)}(2^{12}\psi^4)^{-\nu}(u_\nu(\phi)\cos\nu\pi \pm u_\nu(-\phi)) \ .$$

The higher order poles have cancelled and we have now second order poles for t and first order poles for s. Note that the residues for t involve the functions w_n while those for s involve the v_n. In this way we find

$$\begin{aligned} i\pi(t-1) &= \log\left(\frac{1}{(8\psi)^4}\right) + \frac{1}{2\varpi_0}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(4n)!}{(n!)^4}\frac{(-1)^n}{(8\psi)^{4n}}\Big[2A_n u_n + h_n\Big] \\ i\pi s &= \cosh^{-1}\phi - \frac{\sqrt{\phi^2-1}}{2\varpi_0}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(4n)!}{(n!)^4}\frac{(-1)^n}{(8\psi)^{4n}}f_n \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

where the relations (6.13) and (6.15) have been used. We have also defined the coefficient $A_n = 4[\Psi(4n+1) - \Psi(n+1)]$ and have recognised that

$$\log(\phi + \sqrt{\phi^2-1}) = \cosh^{-1}\phi \ .$$

We exponentiate the first of (7.12) and take the cosh of the second in order to obtain equations suitable for iterative solution:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(8\psi)^4} &= -q^{\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\varpi_0}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(4n)!}{(n!)^4}\frac{(-1)^n}{(8\psi)^{4n}}\Big[2A_nu_n+h_n\Big]\right\}\\ \phi &= \cos\left\{\pi s+\frac{\sqrt{1-\phi^2}}{2\varpi_0}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(4n)!}{(n!)^4}\frac{(-1)^n}{(8\psi)^{4n}}f_n\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

where we have introduced $q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{2\pi i t}$. The zero'th order solutions valid in the limit of large radius, *i.e.* large $\Im m\, t$, are

$$\frac{1}{(8\psi)^4} \sim -q^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \sim \cos\pi s\ .$$

Inserting these expressions into the RHS of (7.13) we obtain first order expressions and so on. In this way we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(8\psi)^4} &= -q^{\frac{1}{2}}+256\cos(\pi s)q-\Big(2256+17040\cos(2\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{3}{2}}+\\ &\qquad\Big(1963520\cos(\pi s)+872960\cos(3\pi s)\Big)q^2+\cdots\\ \phi &= \cos(\pi s)-\Big(24-24\cos(2\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{1}{2}}-\Big(996\cos(\pi s)-996\cos(3\pi s)\Big)q-\\ &\qquad\Big(213120-165376\cos(2\pi s)+47744\cos(4\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{3}{2}}+\cdots\end{aligned}$$

In this example it has proved possible to expand in series for q small and fixed s. As a matter of practical computation this has some advantages. However, this procedure may not generalize to other cases and we are, in general, obliged to resort to a double series in the variables $q_i = e^{2\pi i t_i}$. It is interesting then to recast the mirror map in terms of the variables (t^1, t^2). To this end we introduce 'large complex structure coordinates'

$$Z_1 = \frac{(8\psi)^4}{2\phi} \quad ; \quad Z_2 = (2\phi)^2\ .$$

Note that these are, up to multiplication by constants, the inverses of the coordinates given in Table 5.1. Inverses are used to ensure that the coordinates become large in the large

complex structure limit. From previous results we obtain

$$2i\pi t^1 = i\pi - \log Z_1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n!)^2} Z_2^{-n}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\varpi_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4n)!(-1)^n}{(n!)^4} Z_1^{-n} [2A_n \hat{u}_n(Z_2) + 2\hat{h}_n(Z_2) + \hat{f}_n(Z_2)] \ ,$$

$$2i\pi t^2 = -\log Z_2 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n!)^2} Z_2^{-n} \ - \frac{1}{\varpi_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4n)!(-1)^n}{(n!)^4} Z_1^{-n} \hat{f}_n(Z_2) \ ,$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= (2\phi)^n \hat{u}_n \quad ; \quad h_n = 2(2\phi)^n \hat{h}_n \ , \\ f_n &= -\frac{(2\phi)^n}{\sqrt{\phi^2 - 1}} \hat{f}_n \ . \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

We have also used the result

$$i\cos^{-1}\phi = \log(2\phi) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n!)^2} (2\phi)^{-2n} \ .$$

Notice that the large radius limit $\Im m \ t^i \to \infty$ manifestly corresponds to the large complex structure limit $Z_i \to \infty$.

The mirror map for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$ follows *mutatis mutandis*. In this model we choose to expand in a double series in terms of the large complex structure coordinates which, for this case, are given by

$$Y_1 = \frac{(12\psi)^6}{2\phi} \quad ; \quad Y_2 = (2\phi)^2 \ .$$

We then have

$$2i\pi t^1 = i\pi - \log Y_1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n!)^2} Y_2^{-n}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\varpi_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(6n)!(-1)^n}{(n!)^3(3n)!} Y_1^{-n} [2B_n \hat{u}_n(Y_2) + 2\hat{h}_n(Y_2) + \hat{f}_n(Y_2)] \ ,$$

$$2i\pi t^2 = -\log Y_2 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n!)^2} Y_2^{-n} \ - \frac{1}{\varpi_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(6n)!(-1)^n}{(n!)^3(3n)!} Y_1^{-n} \hat{f}_n(Y_2) \ .$$

Here, $B_n = 6\Psi(6n+1) - 3\Psi(3n+1) - 3\Psi(n+1)$, where $\hat{u}_n, \hat{h}_n$ and $\hat{f}_n$ are the same functions as defined in (7.14). The fundamental period is given in (6.17).

Inverting the mirror map yields expansions for the automorphic functions Y_1 and Y_2 in terms of the variables q_i. For instance, to third order

$$Y_1 = -\frac{1}{q_1}(1 + 744q_1 - q_2 + 196884q_1^2 + 480q_1q_2 + q_2^2 \\ + 21493760q_1^3 + 1403748q_1^2q_2 - 960q_1q_2^2 - q_2^3 + \cdots) \ ,$$

$$Y_2 = \frac{1}{q_2}(1 + 240q_1 + 2q_2 + 70920q_1^2 - 240q_1q_2 + q_2^2 \\ + 22696640q_1^3 - 57600q_1^2q_2 - 240q_1q_2^2 + \cdots) \ .$$

As a curiosity, note that Y_1 restricts to the negative of the j invariant on the locus $q_2 = 0$.

8. **The Yukawa Couplings and the Instanton Expansion**

8.1. The couplings

The four Yukawa couplings

$$y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -\int_{\mathcal{W}} \Omega \wedge \partial_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Omega \ ,$$

where in this context α, β, γ run over ψ and ϕ, can be computed either from the Picard–Fuchs equations or by means of a calculation in the ring of the defining polynomial. The latter calculation proceeds by multiplying three deformations of p and reducing the result modulo the Jacobian ideal of p. The couplings are identified through the relation

$$\partial_\alpha p \, \partial_\beta p \, \partial_\gamma p \simeq y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\langle \mathbf{h} \rangle}$$

where $\mathbf{h}$ denotes the Hessian[4] of p and $\langle \mathbf{h} \rangle$ is a purely numerical value (independent of the parameters) corresponding to $\mathbf{h}$ which may be computed via considerations of topological field theory. This factor can also be fixed from our knowledge of the periods by means of the relation

$$y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -\,\Pi^T \,\Sigma\, \partial_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Pi = -\varpi^T \sigma\, \partial_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\varpi \tag{8.1}$$

with

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ -\mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = m^T \Sigma m \ .$$

The normalization is then also fixed by computing the couplings in the limit of small ψ. Proceeding in either way we find

$$y_{\psi\psi\psi} = -\frac{4096i}{\pi^3}\frac{\psi^5}{\Delta} \ , \qquad y_{\psi\psi\phi} = -\frac{128i}{\pi^3}\frac{\psi^2}{\Delta} \ ,$$

$$y_{\psi\phi\phi} = -\frac{64i}{\pi^3}\frac{\psi^3(\phi+4\psi^4)}{(1-\phi^2)\Delta} \ , \qquad y_{\phi\phi\phi} = -\frac{8i}{\pi^3}\frac{\psi^4(1+3\phi^2+16\psi^4\phi)}{(1-\phi^2)^2\Delta} \ ,$$

$\boxed{\mathcal{F}_0 = \varpi_0}$

with again

$$\Delta = (8\psi^4+\phi)^2 - 1 \ .$$

The gauge in which this has been computed is the gauge with $\mathcal{F}_0 = \varpi_0$. We compute now the couplings in the large complex structure gauge in which $\mathcal{F}_0 = 1$. This introduces a factor of $1/\varpi_0^2$ in addition to the usual tensor transformation rules. Thus, for example

$$y_{ttt} = \frac{1}{\varpi_0^2}\left(y_{\psi\psi\psi}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}\right)^3 + 3y_{\psi\psi\phi}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}\right)^2\left(\frac{d\phi}{dt}\right) + 3y_{\psi\phi\phi}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}\right)\left(\frac{d\phi}{dt}\right)^2 + y_{\phi\phi\phi}\left(\frac{d\phi}{dt}\right)^3\right)$$

[4] The Hessian of p is the determinant of the matrix of second derivatives of p.

On performing the expansion in powers of q we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{ttt} &= 1 + 160\cos(\pi s)q^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Big(72224 + 20224\cos(2\pi s)\Big)q + \\
&\Big(50889600\cos(\pi s) + 1946752\cos(3\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{3}{2}} + \\
&\Big(18774628384 + 12120984320\cos(2\pi s) + 175699968\cos(4\pi s)\Big)q^2 + \\
&\Big(16276063840000\cos(\pi s) + 2316635272000\cos(3\pi s) + 15477172160\cos(5\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{5}{2}} + \cdots \\
y_{tts} &= 160i\sin(\pi s)q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 20224i\sin(2\pi s)q + \Big(16963200i\sin(\pi s) + 1946752i\sin(3\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{3}{2}} + \\
&\Big(6060492160i\sin(2\pi s) + 175699968i\sin(4\pi s)\Big)q^2 + \\
&\Big(3255212768000i\sin(\pi s) + 1389981163200i\sin(3\pi s) + 15477172160i\sin(5\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{5}{2}} + \cdots \\
y_{tss} &= -1 + 160\cos(\pi s)q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 20224\cos(2\pi s)q + \Big(5654400\cos(\pi s) + 1946752\cos(3\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{3}{2}} + \\
&\Big(3030246080\cos(2\pi s) + 175699968\cos(4\pi s)\Big)q^2 + \\
&\Big(651042553600\cos(\pi s) + 833988697920\cos(3\pi s) + 15477172160\cos(5\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{5}{2}} + \cdots \\
y_{sss} &= 2i\cot(\pi s) + 160i\sin(\pi s)q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 20224i\sin(2\pi s)q + \\
&\Big(1884800i\sin(\pi s) + 1946752i\sin(3\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{3}{2}} + \\
&\Big(1515123040i\sin(2\pi s) + 175699968i\sin(4\pi s)\Big)q^2 + \\
&\Big(130208510720i\sin(\pi s) + 500393218752i\sin(3\pi s) + 15477172160i\sin(5\pi s)\Big)q^{\frac{5}{2}} + \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

We wish to interpret these results as an instanton sum. First however we must relate the classical part, $\overset{\circ}{y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ of the couplings to the intersection numbers of the homology basis (H, L) of Section 2.

The definition of the flat coordinates by means of (7.3) amounts to the identification of the tangent space to the Kähler-cone with $H^2(\mathcal{M})$, explicitly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} &= H & \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} &= L \\
dt^1 &= \frac{HL}{4} = h & \qquad dt^2 &= \frac{H^2}{4} - \frac{HL}{2} = l\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.2}
$$

In view of the linear relation (7.11) between (t^1, t^2) and the new coordinates (t, s) we have

also

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{H}{2} \qquad\qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{H}{2} - L$$

$$dt = \frac{H^2}{4} = 2h + l \qquad\qquad ds = -\frac{H^2}{4} + \frac{HL}{2} = -l \ .$$

It is now simple to identify the classical part of the Yukawa couplings. For example we have

$$\mathring{y}_{ttt} \ = \ \mathring{y}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \ = \ \left(\frac{H}{2}\right)^3 \ .$$

Thus we find

$$\mathring{y}_{ttt} \ = \ 1 \ , \ \mathring{y}_{tts} \ = \ 0 \ , \ \mathring{y}_{tss} \ = \ -1 \ , \ \mathring{y}_{sss} \ = \ -2$$

and we see that the first three values agree with the leading terms of the Yukawa couplings computed above while the value for $\mathring{y}_{sss}$ tells us how to divide the $\cot \pi s$ term into a classical part and an instanton part. The fact that there is an instanton contribution to $\mathring{y}_{sss}$ that does not go to zero in the limit $q \to 0$ is in part due to the fact that there are instantons that form a continuous family and also due to the fact that we shall have to revert to the coordinates (t^1, t^2) in order to take the proper limit that suppresses the instanton contributions.

The interpretation of the couplings as instanton sums involves writing the complex Kähler–form in terms of the coordinate basis

$$B + iJ = t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + s\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$$

and integrating over the holomorphic images of the worldsheet Σ. These images can be classified by homology type with respect to the basis (h, l):

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{jk} &= jh + kl \\ &= \frac{j}{2}dt + \left(\frac{j}{2} - k\right) ds \ . \end{aligned}$$

The value of the action corresponding to such an instanton is

$$S_{jk} = -2\pi i \int_{\Sigma_{jk}} (B + iJ) = -2\pi i \left[\frac{j}{2}t + \left(\frac{j}{2} - k\right) s\right] \ .$$

Consistent with these observations we see that the series expansions for the couplings do indeed have the form

$$y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \mathring{y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \sum_{j,k} \frac{c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(j,k)\, n_{jk}\, q^{\frac{j}{2}} r^{\frac{j}{2}-k}}{1 - q^{\frac{j}{2}} r^{\frac{j}{2}-k}} \ . \tag{8.3}$$

Here we have written $r = e^{2\pi i s}$ and the $c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{ttt} \\ c_{tts} \\ c_{tss} \\ c_{sss} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} j^3 \\ j^2(j-2k) \\ j(j-2k)^2 \\ (j-2k)^3 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Note that the $2i\cot(\pi s)$ term fits into this scheme since after separating out the classical piece we have

$$2i\cot(\pi s) = -2 - \frac{4r^{-1}}{1-r^{-1}} . \tag{8.4}$$

Thus it would appear to correspond to a contribution of instantons of type $(0,1)$. With the exception of these we observe from our series expansions for the couplings that the n_{jk} vanish for $k > j$ and $n_{jk} = n_{j,j-k}$ for $j \neq 0$. The values of the n_{jk} for $j \leq 6$ are given in Table 8.1

j	$k=0$	$k=1$	$k=2$	$k=3$
0	0	4	0	0
1	640	640	0	0
2	10032	72224	10032	0
3	288384	7539200	7539200	288384
4	10979984	757561520	2346819520	757561520
5	495269504	74132328704	520834042880	520834042880
6	24945542832	7117563990784	95728361673920	212132862927264

Table 8.1: A table of numbers, n_{jk}, of instantons of type (j,k) for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$. The numbers n_{0k} are special, n_{01} being the only nonzero number of this type. For $j \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq [j/2]$ we have $n_{jk} = n_{j,j-k}$. For $k > j$ the n_{jk} vanish.

We may understand the fact that for $j \geq 1$ the instanton numbers satisfy $n_{jk} = n_{j,j-k}$ as a consequence of a monodromy transformation $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ on the coordinates (t^1, t^2). It is easy to check that $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ has the effect

$$\mathcal{T}_\infty : \ (t^1, t^2) \to (t^1 - t^2, \, -t^2 + 1) .$$

It follows that $(dt^1, dt^2) \to (dt^1 - dt^2, -dt^2)$ and in virtue of the identification (8.2) that $(h,l) \to (h+l,-l)$ which in turn has the consequence $(j,k) \to (j, j-k)$. In other words it is being asserted that consistency with mirror symmetry requires the existence of a monodromy transformation on the Kähler–class parameters of $\mathcal{M}$ the effect of which is $(h,l) \to (h+l,-l)$. It is interesting to note how n_{01} term escapes this argument. The effect of $\mathcal{T}_\infty$ on s is $s \to -s-1$ so the effect on the coupling y_{sss} is $y_{sss} \to -y_{sss}$. Note that $\cot \pi s$ transforms appropriately but if we separate this term into a classical part and an instanton part as in (8.4) then the classical part changes sign in virtue of the transformation

$$-\frac{4r^{-1}}{1-r^{-1}} \to +\frac{4r^{-1}}{1-r^{-1}} + 4$$

the effect being that the transformation of the instanton part changes the classical part.

The appearance of the instanton sum can be improved, and the nature of the large complex structure limit clarified, by reverting to the (t^1, t^2) coordinates. Now we have $\Sigma_{jk} = j dt^1 + k dt^2$. We write also

$$q_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{2\pi i t^1} = \sqrt{\frac{q}{r}} \quad , \quad q_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{2\pi i t^2} = \frac{1}{r} \ .$$

The instanton sum contains now only positive powers of q_1 and q_2

$$y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \overset{\circ}{y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \sum_{j,k} \frac{c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(j,k)\, n_{jk}\, q_1^j q_2^k}{1 - q_1^j q_2^k} \ , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \overset{\circ}{y}_{111} \\ \overset{\circ}{y}_{112} \\ \overset{\circ}{y}_{122} \\ \overset{\circ}{y}_{222} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{8.5}$$

With respect to the new basis the quantities $c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ also simplify

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{111} \\ c_{112} \\ c_{122} \\ c_{222} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j^3 \\ j^2 k \\ j k^2 \\ k^3 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{8.6}$$

The large complex structure limit is evidently the limit $(q_1, q_2) \to (0,0)$.

Let us now consider ${\mathbb{P}_4}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$. The Yukawa couplings can be obtained from the ring of the defining polynomial and their normalizations fixed by the asymptotic behavior of the periods as we have explained. We find in this case

$$y_{\psi\psi\psi} = -\frac{i}{64\pi^3}\frac{(12\psi)^9}{\Delta} \ , \qquad y_{\psi\phi\phi} = -\frac{i}{48\pi^3}\frac{(\phi + 432\psi^6)(12\psi)^5}{(1-\phi^2)\Delta} \ ,$$

$$y_{\psi\psi\phi} = -\frac{3i}{4\pi^3}\frac{(12\psi)^4}{\Delta} \ , \qquad y_{\phi\phi\phi} = -\frac{i}{6912\pi^3}\frac{(1 + 3\phi^2 + 1728\psi^6\phi)(12\psi)^6}{(1-\phi^2)^2\Delta} \ ,$$

with

$$\Delta = (864\,\psi^6 + \phi)^2 - 1\ .$$

These couplings have been computed in the $\mathcal{F}_0 = \varpi_0$ gauge.

From the inverse mirror map we can compute the $y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ in the large radius gauge $\mathcal{F}_0 = 1$. The resulting instanton sum is of the expected form (8.5) with the $c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ given in (8.6). Values for the instanton numbers are displayed in Table 8.2. As in $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$, the numbers n_{0k} are special, n_{01} being the only nonzero number of this type. For $j \geq 1$ we again have the quantum symmetry $n_{jk} = n_{j,j-k}$. Also, the n_{jk} vanish for $k > j$.

j	$k=0$	$k=1$	$k=2$
0	0	2	0
1	2496	2496	0
2	223752	1941264	223752
3	38637504	1327392512	1327392512
4	9100224984	861202986072	2859010142112

Table 8.2: A table of numbers, n_{jk}, of instantons of type (j,k) for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$.

8.2. Instantons of genus one

Following Bershadsky *et al.* [6] we consider the quantity F_1 defined by a certain path integral and whose topological limit is given by an expression of the form

$$F_1^{top} = \log\left[\left(\frac{\psi\, d}{\varpi_0}\right)^{3+b_{11}-\chi/12} \frac{\partial(\psi,\phi)}{\partial(t^1,t^2)}\, f\right] + \text{const.}\ , \tag{8.7}$$

We recognise $1/\varpi_0$ as a gauge factor. The additional factor of $\psi^{3+b_{11}-\chi/12}$ is needed to compensate for the term e^K in eq. (16) of [6], which grows like $|\psi|^{-2}$ near $\psi = 0$. Here K as usual is the Kähler potential. The asymptotic growth of e^K follows immediately from the factor of ψ in the expression for Ω, since the locus $\psi = 0$ parametrizes nonsingular manifolds. When $b_{11} = 2$, the exponent becomes $5 - \chi/12$. Then there is a Jacobian and a holomorphic function f which is determined by the conditions that F_1^{top} can be singular

only when $\Delta = 0$ or $\phi^2 = 1$ together with the condition on the large radius limit. The relevance of F_1^{top} to us here is that in virtue of mirror symmetry it enjoys an expansion

$$F_1^{top} = -\frac{2\pi i}{12} c_2 \cdot (B + iJ) + \text{const.} - \sum_{jk} \left[2d_{jk} \log \eta(q_1^j q_2^k) + \frac{1}{6} n_{jk} \log(1 - q_1^j q_2^k) \right] \ , \quad (8.8)$$

where

$$\eta(q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$$

is the Dedekind function. In the expansion for F^{top} the leading term is product of the second Chern class with the Kähler-class and determines the asymptotic form of F_1^{top} in the large radius limit. The summation in (8.8) is over instanton contributions and d_{jk} and n_{jk} are the numbers of instantons of genus one and genus zero.

The quantity ψ/ϖ_0 is regular and nonzero at $\psi = 0$ so the function f must be of the form

$$f = \Delta^a \, (\phi^2 - 1)^b \, \psi^c \quad (8.9)$$

The exponent c is fixed by the behavior of the Jacobian $\frac{\partial(\psi,\phi)}{\partial(t^1,t^2)}$ at $\psi = 0$. The remaining exponents are then determined by comparing (8.7) with the leading term in (8.8) in the large radius limit

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{top} &\sim -\frac{2\pi i}{12} c_2 \cdot (B + iJ) \\ &= -\frac{2\pi i}{12} c_2 \cdot (t^1 H + t^2 L) \\ &= -\frac{2\pi i}{12} \begin{cases} 56t^1 + 24t^2 & \text{for } \mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8] \\ 52t^1 + 24t^2 & \text{for } \mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12] \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

On the other hand, from (8.7), (8.9) and the form of the mirror map, we see that

$$F_1^{top} \sim -\frac{2\pi i}{12} \left\{ \left[\frac{2}{d}(72 - \chi + 12c) + 24a \right] t^1 + \left[6 + 12a + 12b + \frac{1}{d}(72 - \chi + 12c) \right] t^2 \right\} \ ,$$

allowing us to fix the constants a and b once c is known.

For $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$ we have, c=0, $a = -1/6$ and $b = -5/6$ while for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$ we have, $c = 1$, $a = -1/6$ and $b = -2/3$. Substituting the corresponding holomorphic function (8.9) in (8.7) and using our previous results we find the instanton numbers. In both models they satisfy the quantum symmetry $d_{jk} = d_{j,j-k}$. Also, $d_{0k} = 0$ and $d_{jk} = 0$ for $k > j$. Tables of values are given below.

j	$k=0$	$k=1$	$k=2$	$k=3$
1	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0
3	−1280	2560	2560	−1280
4	−317864	1047280	15948240	1047280
5	−36571904	224877056	12229001216	12229001216
6	−3478899872	36389051520	4954131766464	13714937870784

Table 8.3: A table of numbers, d_{jk}, of genus one instantons of type (j,k) for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$. The numbers displayed are for $j=1,\ldots,6$ and $k \leq [j/2]$. We have $d_{jk} = d_{j,j-k}$ and $d_{jk} = 0$ for $k > j$.

j	$k=0$	$k=1$	$k=2$
1	0	0	0
2	−492	480	−492
3	−1465984	2080000	2080000
4	−1042943028	3453856440	74453838480

Table 8.4: A table of numbers, d_{jk}, of genus one instantons of type (j,k) for $\mathbb{P}_4{}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$.

9. **Verification of Some Instanton Contributions**

In this section, we verify the predictions for some of the numbers of rational and elliptic curves given by our calculation of the Yukawa couplings. In the process, we consider more generally a Calabi–Yau manifold $\mathcal{M}$ which contains an exceptional divisor E which is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ bundle over a smooth curve C of genus at least 2. More precisely, we suppose that there is a canonical threefold $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ and a birational map $f : \mathcal{M} \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $f(E) = C$, with f an isomorphism outside E and C. It follows that the fibers of f over points of C are smooth rational curves l which satisfy $l \cdot E = -2$.

For any curve $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{M}$, we write $n_\Gamma = \Gamma \cdot E$. Suppose in addition that Γ is an irreducible rational curve. If $\Gamma \subset E$, then Γ must be one of the fibers l. If $\Gamma \not\subset E$, note that $n = n_\Gamma \geq 0$. For each of the n intersection points p_i of Γ and E, there is a unique fiber l_i of E which meets that point. Taking the union of Γ with all of these, we arrive at an *connected* curve Γ' which is homologous to $\Gamma + nl$. This has an interpretation as a degenerate instanton, and as such contributes to the instanton sum (see appendix to [6]). In the sequel, a *degenerate instanton* denotes a connected curve of arithmetic genus 0.

This can be generalized to all degenerate instantons as follows. First of all, we assume that we are in a generic situation so that

1. There are only finitely many irreducible rational curves in a given homology class (with the exception of the homology class of l).
2. The images of any two irreducible rational curves via f, neither equal to a fiber of f, are disjoint in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$.

Let Γ now denote any connected degenerate instanton. Suppose Γ contains m fibers $l_1, \ldots l_m$ of f as components. Then $\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma - (l_1 + \ldots + l_m)$ is connected. Put $n = n_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \geq 0$. The m fibers l_i meet $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in m of the n points $\tilde{\Gamma} \cap E$. To the remaining $n - m$ points are associated fibers $l_{m+1}, \ldots l_n$. Put $\Gamma' = \tilde{\Gamma} + l_{m+1} + \ldots + l_n$. The association $\Gamma \mapsto \Gamma'$ clearly gives an involution on the set of all (possibly degenerate) instantons, with the exception of the fibers. Note that whether or not Γ is irreducible, we have that Γ' is homologous to $\Gamma + (\Gamma \cdot E)l$.

Let $T_{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the holomorphic tangent bundle of $\mathcal{M}$. The moduli space of complex structures of $\mathcal{M}$ has dimension $h^{2,1}(\mathcal{M})$, and its tangent space is canonically isomorphic to $H^1(T_{\mathcal{M}})$. $H^{1,0}(C)$ contributes a summand to $H^1(T_{\mathcal{M}})$ (in the cases of $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$ and $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$, this complements the space of polynomial deformations of $\mathcal{M}$). For each fiber l, restriction defines a map $r : H^1(T_{\mathcal{M}}) \to H^1(N_{l/\mathcal{M}})$, where $N_{l/\mathcal{M}}$ denotes the normal bundle of l in $\mathcal{M}$ (which is $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$ by our assumptions). Deformation theory tells us that in order for one of the lines l to be holomorphically deformable to first order

when the complex structure is perturbed in the direction of an element $\rho \in H^1(T_{\mathcal{M}})$, it is necessary and sufficient that $r(\rho) = 0$. In addition, we calculate that $H^1(N_{l/\mathcal{M}}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ globalizes to the canonical bundle K_C of C. There results a map $H^1(T_{\mathcal{M}}) \to H^0(K_C)$, also denoted r. Said differently, to each first order deformation $\rho \in H^1(T_{\mathcal{M}})$ one gets a section s of K_C. The lines that deform in the direction of ρ to first order are precisely those lying over the points of C at which s vanishes. In fact, we can show more: if any 1 parameter deformation of $\mathcal{M}$ is given, and $\rho \in H^1(T_{\mathcal{M}})$ corresponds to the first order part of this deformation, then if $r(\rho) \in H^0(K_C)$ has distinct zeros, it follows that the fibers over the points of C at which $r(\rho) = 0$ actually deform holomorphically with our 1 parameter family. Thus $2g-2$ fibers deform, where g is the genus of C. The first order calculation was originally done by P.M.H. Wilson [8], and was supplemented by a proof that at least one of the fibers deform. The same result that $2g-2$ is the instanton contribution for this family of curves could have been obtained by the topological field theory argument of [28].

Now, deform $\mathcal{M}$ so that E disappears, leaving only $2g-2$ fibers in its place. These curves all have normal bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, so may be simultaneously flopped, yielding a topologically distinct threefold $\mathcal{M}'$. These curves will still be referred to as fibers in the sequel.

We now look at the effect of this flop on the Yukawa couplings. For any divisor class H on $\mathcal{M}$, let $H' = H + (H \cdot l)E$. The map $H \mapsto H'$ is the adjoint of the previously discussed involution $\Gamma \mapsto \Gamma + (\Gamma \cdot E)l$ on homology classes of curves, that is $H \cdot \Gamma = H' \cdot \Gamma'$ for all H, Γ. This involution was also considered in [8].

Let H_1, H_2, H_3 be any three $(1,1)$ forms. We claim that the Yukawa couplings satisy

$$< H_1, H_2, H_3 >_{\mathcal{M}} = < H_1', H_2', H_3' >_{\mathcal{M}'} \tag{9.1}$$

There are two types of terms in the expansion of the Yukawa couplings—the classical term and the instanton terms. The instanton terms match up for all instantons except the fibers, by the above discussion. And the combination of the classical term with the contribution of the fibers to the instanton sum can also be seen to match up by the form of the instanton term and the relationship between intersection numbers and flops. This calculation has been done in a more general setting in [5,29].

Back to the cases $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$ and $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$, the above discussion yields three conclusions, all of which are borne out by the calculation of the Yukawa couplings.

1. $n_{01} = 4$ for $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$ and $n_{01} = 2$ for $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$.
2. $n_{jk} = n_{j,j-k}$ for $j \neq 0$.
3. $n_{jk} = 0$ for $j > 0$ and $k > j$.
4. The Yukawa couplings are the same for $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$.

To see (3), we let Γ be any degenerate instanton with $\Gamma \cdot H = j$ and $\Gamma \cdot L = k$. We write $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup_{i=1}^n l_i$, where the l_i are fibers and Γ_0 is irreducible, as discussed earlier. Put $\Gamma_0 \cdot L = r$. Then since $\Gamma_0 \not\subset E$, it follows that

$$\Gamma_0 \cdot E = \Gamma_0 \cdot (H - 2L) = j - 2r \geq 0.$$

We also have $n \leq \Gamma_0 \cdot E = j - 2r$. Hence

$$k = \Gamma \cdot L = \Gamma_0 \cdot L + n = r + n \leq j - r \leq j.$$

Finally, some of the numbers n_{jk} for $j > 0$ can be verified. We begin with the consideration of $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$. We let the volume of the fibers shrink to 0 by letting $t^2 \to 0$ inside the Kähler moduli space. This is accomplished by letting $q_2 = 1$. The resulting 1 parameter coupling is seen to be the same as the coupling for a $(2,4)$ complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^5$. This is because there is a natural mapping $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)} \to \mathbb{P}^5$ given by

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_5) \mapsto (y_0, \ldots, y_5) = (x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_2^2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$$

The image $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ clearly satisfies the quadratic equation $y_0 y_2 = y_1^2$, and the weighted octic equation of X becomes a quartic equation in the y_i. This singular threefold has one Kähler modulus; and since it is a complex deformation of a smooth $(2,4)$ complete intersection, the Yukawa coupling calculated here must coincide with that of the $(2,4)$ complete intersection. In fact, we can see directly that the restricted Picard-Fuchs equation or power series expansion of ϖ_0 coincides with that first found for this complete intersection by Libgober and Teitelbaum [10] (see also [11,12]). Note also that the resulting relationship between the two parameter and one parameter conformal field theories has already been noted—by means of the mirror families—in Section 3.2.

To tie together the instanton numbers, we can establish the validity of the following assertion. Let $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ be the singular model of $\mathcal{M}$, and let $\Gamma \subset \hat{\mathcal{M}}$ be a rational curve. Let $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be the proper transform of Γ. Then as $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ deforms to a smooth $(2,4)$ complete intersection, Γ splits up into 2^n distinct curves, where $n = n_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$. This is proven by a local calculation and a local to global argument. Note that this result is compatible with the description of degenerate instantons, as there are 2^n subsets of the n fibers that meet $\tilde{\Gamma}$ which can be joined to $\tilde{\Gamma}$ to yield a degenerate instanton. A consequence is that $\sum_k n_{jk}$ is the number of degree j rational curves on a $(2,4)$ complete intersection. Combining with the symmetry $n_{jk} = n_{j,j-k}$, we get the correct numbers for $n_{10} = n_{11}$, since the number of lines on a $(2,4)$ complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^5$ is well known to be 1280. Alternatively, n_{10} can also be verified by counting lines on a pencil of K3 surfaces. The linear system $|L|$ defines a fibration of $\mathcal{M}$ by K3 surfaces, which can be identified as quartic K3 surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$, as we have seen earlier. So this pencil of K3's can be related to a family of quartic surfaces, each of which spans a $\mathbb{P}^3$. This can be accomplished by using the model contained in the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^5$ along the $\mathbb{P}^2$ defined by $y_0 = y_1 = y_2 = 0$. We use the linear system $|2L|$ to get a map to the conic $y_0 y_2 = y_1^2$, and count lines in the fibers. We will get the same number by degenerating the conic to a union of two lines; in other words, we replace $\mathcal{M}$ be the complete intersection of a quartic with a union of two hyperplanes formed out of y_0, y_1, y_2. To count lines in these fibers, we see that the result is the same as counting lines in $\mathbb{P}^4$ which lie in a quartic hypersurface and *some* member of a linear system of hyperplanes; then multiplying this result by 2. This can be calculated by standard

techniques in enumerative geometry (it is $2(c_5(S^4Q))(c_1(Q))$, where Q is the universal quotient bundle on the Grassmannian $G(2,5)$ of lines in $\mathbb{P}^4$), and we verify $n_{10} = 640$. The n_{2k} numbers can also be calculated by similar considerations, but now it is essential to calculate n_{20} directly by the K3 pencil method. By similar reasoning, n_{20} is twice the number of conics that are contained in a quartic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ and some hyperplane of a varying pencil. This is straightforward to compute, and the result is that we verify $n_{20} = 10032$. This also verifies n_{02}, and n_{11} is verified by comparing $n_{20} + n_{11} + n_{02}$ with the total number of conics on a $(2,4)$ complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^5$, verified by [30]. The number of twisted cubics on a $(2,4)$ complete intersection has been calculated by Ellingsrud and Strømme [31], and agrees with $\sum_{k=0}^{3} n_{3k}$. This number had previously been predicted in [10,11].

The situation for $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$ is entirely analogous. For instance, for n_{10}, we take twice the number of lines in $\mathbb{P}_5^{(1,1,1,1,1,3)}$ which lie on a fixed degree 6 hypersurface and some member of a pencil of linear hypersurfaces. The calculation may be done by a modification of the technique in [32]. The result is $n_{10} = 2496$. The numbers of rational curves of low degree for a $(2,6)$ intersection in $\mathbb{P}_5^{(1,1,1,1,1,3)}$ have also been calculated recently in Ref. [33]. These numbers agree with our results for $\sum_{k=0}^{j} n_{jk}$, as well as with our direct calculation of these numbers by standard techniques in enumerative geometry.

Turning to the verification of the predicted numbers of elliptic curves in $\mathbb{P}^{(1,1,2,2,2)}[8]$, we use the K3 pencil method. There are no projective elliptic curves of degree less than 3, verifying the first two lines of Table 8.3. To verify d_{30}, we "count" plane cubic curves in $\mathbb{P}^4$ which lie in a quartic hypersurface and some member of a linear system of hyperplanes, then multiply the resulting number by 2. Here there is actually an infinite number: the supporting plane of the cubic curve meets the quartic residually in a line, and conversely, given any line on the quartic also in a hyperplane of a pencil, the pencil of hyperplanes in the $\mathbb{P}^3$ through that line residually defines a 1 parameter family of cubics. Using a formal application of standard techniques of intersection theory in enumerative geometry (on the moduli space of plane cubic curves, multiply c_{12} of the bundle of quartic forms restricted to the varying curves by c_3 of the bundle of linear forms), the resulting number is indeed -1280. Alternatively, this number could have been calculated by a topological field theoretic argument; since each of the 640 lines found in the n_{30} calculation corresponds to a family of cubic curves parametrized by $\mathbb{P}^1$, the upshot is that each contributes $c_1(\mathbb{P}^1) = -2$, again arriving at $d_{30} = -1280$. d_{31} is verified as in the verification of n_{11}, by relating $d_{30} + \ldots + d_{03}$ to the number of elliptic cubics on a $(2,4)$ complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^5$, which can be easily calculated to be 2560.

Considerations similar to the foregoing apply to ${\mathbb{P}_4}^{(1,1,2,2,6)}[12]$. In particular, to see that $d_{10} = d_{11} = 0$, we look at the blown down model obtained by applying the morphism determined by $|H|$. This maps $\mathcal{M}$ onto a quadric in $\mathbb{P}^4$, and exhibits $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ as a double cover of the quadric branched along a sextic (the degree 12 equation for the weighted hypersurface becomes sextic in the variables of $\mathbb{P}^4$, and the additional x_5^2 term gives rise to the double cover). A curve C satisfying $C \cdot H = 1$ necessarily maps to a line in $\mathbb{P}^4$

and must map birationally onto the line. Such a curve would then have genus 0, and in particular not be elliptic.

We have observed that a negative instanton contribution can only arise when there are continuous families of instantons for generic parameter values. Continuous families can sometimes be avoided by doing the calculations on nearby almost complex manifolds instead. In fact, for rational curves, McDuff's transversality theorem [34] shows that continuous families cannot exist for the generic almost complex structure; this is why all of the n_{jk} are positive (even when there were continuous families of instantons for general values of the parameters). It is therefore all the more striking that negative instanton values *do* occur for elliptic curves.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Per Berglund, Sergio Ferrara, Wolfgang Lerche, Jan Louis and Fernando Quevedo for instructive discussions. A. F. thanks the Centro Científico IBM-Venezuela for the use of its facilities and the Institut de Physique of the Université de Neuchâtel as well as ICTP-Trieste for hospitality while part of this research was done.

References

1. P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, P. Green and L. Parkes, Nucl. Phys. **B359** (1991) 21.
2. D. R. Morrison, "Picard-Fuchs Equations and Mirror Maps for Hypersurfaces", in *Essays on Mirror Symmetry*, ed. S.-T. Yau (Intl. Press, Hong Kong, 1992).
3. A. Font, Nucl. Phys. **B391** (1993) 358.
4. A. Klemm and S. Theisen, Nucl. Phys. **B389** (1993) 153.
5. P. S. Aspinwall, B. R. Greene and D. R. Morrison, Phys. Lett. **303B** (1993) 249; "Calabi-Yau Moduli Space, Mirror Manifolds, and Spacetime Topology Change in String Theory", in preparation.
6. M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, "Holomorphic Anomalies in Topological Field Theories", with an appendix by S. Katz, Harvard University preprint HUTP-93/A008, RIMS-915.
7. S. Hosono, A. Klemm, S. Theisen, and S.-T. Yau, "Mirror Symmetry, Mirror Map, and Application to Calabi-Yau Hypersurfaces", Preprint.
8. P.M.H. Wilson, Invent. Math. **107** (1992) 561
9. B.R. Greene and M.R. Plesser, Nucl. Phys. **B338** (1990) 15.
10. A. Libgober and J. Teitelbaum, Int. Math. Res. Notices (1993) 29.
11. V. Batyrev and D. van Straten, "Generalized Hypergeometric Functions and Rational Curves on Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Toric Varieties", alg-geom/9307010.
12. P. Berglund, P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, A. Font, T. Hübsch, D. Jančić and F. Quevedo, "Periods for Calabi–Yau and Landau-Ginzburg Vacua", CERN-TH. 6865/93, HUPAPP-93/3, NEIP 93-004, NSF-ITP-93-96, UTTG-13-93, hepth 9308005
13. D. G. Markushevich, "Resolution of Singularities (Toric Method)", appendix to: D. G. Markushevich, M. A. Olshanetsky, and A. M. Perelomov, Comm. Math. Phys. **111** (1987), 247.
14. A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, W. Lerche and J. Louis, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8** (1993) 79.
15. D. R. Morrison, "Compactifications of Moduli Spaces Inspired by Mirror Symmetry", DUK-M-93-06.
16. A. Landman, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **181** (1973), 89.
17. A. C. Cadavid and S. Ferrara, Phys. Lett. **267B** (1991) 193.
18. B. Blok and A. Varchenko, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**7**, (1992) 1467.
19. W. Lerche, D. J. Smit and N. P. Warner, Nucl. Phys. **B372** (1992) 87.
20. P. Deligne, *Equations Différentielles à Points Singuliers Réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **163**, Springer-Verlag 1970.

21. N. Katz, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **39** (1971) 175
22. P. Berglund and S. Katz, In preparation
23. P. S. Aspinwall et al., "The Monomial-Divisor Mirror Map", IASSNS-HEP-93/43, in preparation.
24. V. V. Batyrev, "Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties", preprint, November 18, 1992.
25. S.-S. Roan, Internat. J. Math. **2** (1991) 439.
26. T. Oda and H. S. Park, Tôhoku Math. J. **43** (1991) 375
27. V. V. Batyrev, Duke Math. J. **69** (1992) 349.
28. P. S. Aspinwall and D. R. Morrison, Comm. Math. Phys. **151** (1993) 245.
29. E. Witten, "Phases of $N = 2$ Theories in Two Dimensions", preprint IASSNS-HEP-93/3.
30. S. A. Strømme and D. van Straten, Private communication.
31. G. Ellingsrud and S. A. Strømme, In preparation.
32. S. Katz, "Rational Curves on Calabi-Yau Manifolds: Verifying Predictions of Mirror Symmetry", OSU-M-92-3.
33. A. Klemm and S. Theisen, "Mirror Maps and Instanton Sums for Complete Intersections in Weighted Projective Space", LMU-TPW-93-08 (1993).
34. D. McDuff, Invent. Math. **89** (1987) 13.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9308083v2

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9308083v2

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9308083v2